

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Evan Gershbein, being duly sworn according to law, depose and say that I am employed by Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC, the Court appointed claims and noticing agent for the Debtors in the above-captioned cases.

On January 11, 2006, I caused to be served the documents listed below (i) upon the parties listed on Exhibit A hereto via overnight delivery, (ii) upon the parties listed on Exhibit B hereto via electronic notification, and (iii) upon the parties listed on Exhibit C hereto via postage pre-paid U.S. mail:

- 1) Order Authorizing Employment and Retention of Steven Hall & Partners as Compensation and Employment Agreement Advisor for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (Docket No. 1782) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D]
- 2) Order Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7026, 7030 and 9016 (A) Granting in Part and Denying in Part Lead Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Deposition Testimony and the Production of Documents in Connection with the Debtors' Motion for Order Under 11 U.S.C. Sections 327(a), 328(a), and 1107(b) Authorizing Employment and Retention of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Independent Auditors and Accountants to Debtors, Effective Nunc Pro Tunc to October 8, 2005 and Objections Filed Thereto, and (B) Granting in Part and Denying in Part the Debtors' Motion to Quash Trial Subpoenas Issued to Members of Debtors' Audit Committee and for Protective Order Related Thereto and for a Protective Order to Limit the Scope of the Deposition of Robert Dellinger to Only Those Matters Pertaining Directly to the Debtors' Motion for Order Authorizing Employment and Retention of Deloitte & Touche LLP (Docket No. 1792) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E]
- 3) Motion Under Fed.R.Bankr.P. 9016 and Fed.R.Civ.P. 45 to Quash Subpoenas Served by Lead Plaintiffs on John Sheehan, Laura Marion, Dan Renick, and John Rotko (Docket No. 1799) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]

On January 11, 2006, I caused to be served the documents listed below (i) upon the parties listed on Exhibit G hereto via overnight delivery:

- 4) Motion Under Fed.R.Bankr.P. 9016 and Fed.R.Civ.P. 45 to Quash Subpoenas Served by Lead Plaintiffs on John Sheehan, Laura Marion, Dan Renick, and John Rotko (Docket No. 1799) [a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F]

Dated: January 12, 2006

/s/ Evan Gershbein
Evan Gershbein

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 12th day of January, 2006, by Evan Gershbein, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.

Signature : /s/ Sarah Elizabeth Frankel

Commission Expires: 12/23/08

EXHIBIT A

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP	Robert J. Stark	Seven Times Square		New York	NY	10036	212-209-4800	212-2094801	rstark@brownrudnick.com	Indenture Trustee
Capital Research and Management Company	Michelle Robson	11100 Santa Monica Blvd	15th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90025	310-996-6140	310-996-6091	mlfr@capgroup.com	Creditor Committee Member
Cohen Weiss & Simon	Bruce Simon	330 W. 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036	212-356-0231	212-695-5436	b.simon@cwsny.com	
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Steven J. Reisman	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061	2126966000	2126971559	sreisman@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International USA, Inc.
Davis Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092	212-450-3092	donald.bernstein@dpw.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2670	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Electronic Data Systems Corp.	Michael Nefkens	5505 Corporate Drive MSIA		Troy	MI	48098	248-696-1729	248-696-1739	mike.nefkens@eds.com	Creditor Committee Member
Flextronics International	Carrie L. Schiff	6328 Monarch Park Place		Niwot	CO	80503	303-652-4853	303-652-4716	cschiff@flextronics.com	Counsel for Flextronics International
Flextronics International	Terry Zale	6328 Monarch Park Place		Niwot	CO	80503	303-652-4853	303-652-4716	terryzale@flextronics.com	Counsel for Flextronics International
Freescall Semiconductor, Inc.	Richard Lee Chambers, III	6501 William Cannon Drive West	MD: OE16	Austin	TX	78735	512-895-6357	512-895-3090	trey.chambers@freescall.com	Creditor Committee Member
FTI Consulting, Inc.	Randall S. Eisenberg	3 Times Square	11th Floor	New York	NY	10036	212-2471010	212-841-9350	randall.eisenberg@fticonsulting.com	Financial Advisors to Debtors
General Electric Company	Valerie Venable	9930 Kinsey Avenue		Huntersville	NC	28078	704-992-5075	866-585-2386		Creditor Committee Member
Groom Law Group	Lonie A. Hassel	1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-857-0620	202-659-4503	lhassel@groom.com	Counsel for Employee Benefits
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross	152 West 57th Street	35th Floor	New York	NY	10019	212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel for Hexcel Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	fgorman@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	rweiss@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Internal Revenue Service	Attn: Insolvency Department, Mario Valerio	290 Broadway	5th Floor	New York	NY	10007	212-298-2015	212-298-2016		IRS
Internal Revenue Service	Attn: Insolvency Department	477 Michigan Ave	Mail Stop 15	Detroit	MI	48226	313-628-3648	313-628-3602		Michigan IRS
IUE-CWA	Henry Reichard	2360 W. Dorothy Lane	Suite 201	Dayton	OH	45439	937-294-7813	937-294-9164	hreichard@iuecwa@aol.com	Creditor Committee Member
Jefferies & Company, Inc.	William Q. Derrough	520 Madison Avenue	12th Floor	New York	NY	10022	212-284-2521	212-284-2470	bderrough@jefferies.com thomas.fmaher@chase.com richard.duker@jpmorgan.com gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com	UCC Professional
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Thomas F. Maher, Richard Duker, Gianni Russello	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-0426	212-270-0430		Postpetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Vilma Francis	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	vilma.francis@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Gordon Z. Novod	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	gnovod@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Thomas Moers Mayer	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	tmayer@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kurtzman Carson Consultants	James Le	12910 Culver Blvd.	Suite I	Los Angeles	CA	90066	310-751-1511	310-751-1561	jle@kccllc.com	Noticing and Claims Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	UCC Professional
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Patrick J. Healy	767 Third Ave.	31st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	patrick.healy@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Daniel R. Fisher	767 Third Ave.	31st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	daniel.fisher@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	David D. Cleary	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	dcleary@mwe.com	Counsel for Recticel North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Mohsin N. Khambati	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	mkhambati@mwe.com	Counsel for Recticel North America, Inc.
McTigue Law Firm	J. Brian McTigue	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	bmctigue@mcstiguelaw.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
McTigue Law Firm	Cornish F. Hitchcock	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	conh@mcstiguelaw.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Mesirov Financial	Melissa Knolls	321 N. Clark St.	13th Floor	Chicago	IL	60601	800-453-0600	312-644-8927	mknoll@mesirovfinancial.com	UCC Professional

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Morrison Cohen LLP	Joseph T. Moldovan, Esq.	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	2127358603	9175223103	jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Northeast Regional Office	Mark Schonfeld, Regional Director	3 World Financial Center	Room 4300	New York	NY	10281	212-336-1100	212-336-1323	newyork@sec.gov	Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of New York State	Attorney General Eliot Spitzer	120 Broadway		New York City	NY	10271	212-416-8000	212-416-6075		New York Attorney General's Office
O'Melveny & Meyer LLP	Robert Siegel	400 South Hope Street		Los Angeles	CA	90071	213-430-6000	213-430-6407	rsiegel@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
O'Melveny & Meyer LLP	Tom A. Jerman, Rachel Janger	1625 Eye Street, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-383-5300	202-383-5414	tjerman@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Jeffrey Cohen	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005	202-326-4020	202-326-4112	garrick.sandra@pbgc.gov efile@pbgc.gov	Counsel for Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Ralph L. Landy	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005-4026	2023264020	2023264112	landy.ralph@pbgc.gov	Chief Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Phillips Nizer LLP	Sandra A. Riemer	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103	212-841-0589	212-262-5152	sriemer@phillipsnizer.com	Counsel for Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems
Rothchild Inc.	David L. Resnick	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020	212-403-3500	212-403-5454	david.resnick@us.rothschild.com	Financial Advisor
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	1270 Avenue of the Americas	Suite 2500	New York	NY	10020-1801	2122185500	2122185526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel for Murata Electronics North
Shearman & Sterling LLP	Douglas Bartner, Jill Frizzley	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-8484000	212-848-7179	dbartner@shearman.com jfrizzley@shearman.com	Local Counsel to the Debtors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Daniel D. Doyle	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	didoyle@spencerfane.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Nicholas Franke	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	nfranke@spencerfane.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Constantine D. Pourakis	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022	2123198500	2123198505	cp@stevenslee.com cs@stevenslee.com	Counsel for Wamco, Inc.
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119	212-594-5000	212-967-4258	altogut@teamtogut.com	Conflicts Counsel to the Debtors
United States Trustee	Alicia M. Leonard	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	212-668-2255		United States Trustee
United States Trustee	Deirdre A. Martini	33 Whitehall Street	Suite 2100	New York	NY	10004	212-510-0500	212-668-2256	deirdre.martini@usdoj.gov	United States Trustee
Warner Stevens, L.L.P.	Michael D. Warner	1700 City Center Tower II	301 Commerce Street	Fort Worth	TX	76102	817-810-5250	817-810-5255		Proposed Conflicts Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Jeffrey L. Tanenbaum, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	jeffrey.tanenbaum@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	martin.bienenstock@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Michael P. Kessler, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	michael.kessler@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Wilmington Trust Company	Steven M. Cimalore	Rodney Square North	1100 North Market Street	Wilmington	DE	19890	302-636-6058	302-636-4143	scimalore@wilmingtontrust.com	Creditor Committee Member/Indenture Trustee

EXHIBIT B

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP	Robert J. Stark	Seven Times Square		New York	NY	10036	212-209-4800	212-209-4801	rstark@brownrudnick.com	Indenture Trustee
Capital Research and Management Company	Michelle Robson	11100 Santa Monica Blvd	15th Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90025	310-996-6140	310-996-6091	mlfr@capgroup.com	Creditor Committee Member
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Steven J. Reisman	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061	212-696-6000	212-697-1559	sreisman@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International USA, Inc.
Davis Polk & Wardwell	Donald Bernstein	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4092	212-450-3092	donald.bernstein@dpw.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2670	sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
Electronic Data Systems Corp.	Michael Nefkens	5505 Corporate Drive MSIA		Troy	MI	48098	248-696-1729	248-696-1739	mike.nefkens@eds.com	Creditor Committee Member
Flextronics International	Terry Zale	6328 Monarch Park Place		Niwot	CO	80503	303-652-4853	303-652-4716	terryzale@flextronics.com	Counsel for Flextronics International
Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.	Richard Lee Chambers, III	6501 William Cannon Drive West	MD: OE16	Austin	TX	78735	512-895-6357	512-895-3090	trey.chambers@freescale.com	Creditor Committee Member
FTI Consulting, Inc.	Randall S. Eisenberg	3 Times Square	11th Floor	New York	NY	10036	212-247-1010	212-841-9350	randall.eisenberg@fticonsulting.com	Financial Advisors to Debtors
Groom Law Group	Lonie A. Hassel	1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-857-0620	202-659-4503	lhassel@groom.com	Counsel for Employee Benefits
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross	152 West 57th Street	35th Floor	New York	NY	10019	212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel for Hexcel Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Frank L. Gorman, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	fgorman@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP	Robert B. Weiss, Esq.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583	313-465-7000	313-465-8000	rweiss@honigman.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
IUE-CWA	Henry Reichard	2360 W. Dorothy Lane	Suite 201	Dayton	OH	45439	937-294-7813	937-294-9164	hreicharduecwa@aol.com	Creditor Committee Member
Jefferies & Company, Inc.	William Q. Derrough	520 Madison Avenue	12th Floor	New York	NY	10022	212-284-2521	212-284-2470	bderrough@jefferies.com	UCC Professional
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Richard Duker, Gianni Russello	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	richard.duker@jpmorgan.com gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Vilma Francis	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-5484	212-270-4016	vilma.francis@jpmorgan.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Gordon Z. Novod	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	gnovod@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP	Thomas Moers Mayer	1177 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036	212-715-9100	212-715-8000	tmayer@kramerlevin.com	Counsel Data Systems Corporation; EDS Information Services, LLC
Kurtzman Carson Consultants	James Le	12910 Culver Blvd.	Suite I	Los Angeles	CA	90066	310-751-1511	310-751-1561	jle@kccllc.com	Noticing and Claims Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	UCC Professional
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Daniel R. Fisher	767 Third Ave.	31st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	daniel.fisher@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
Law Debenture Trust of New York	Patrick J. Healy	767 Third Ave.	31st Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-750-6474	212-750-1361	patrick.healy@lawdeb.com	Indenture Trustee
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	David D. Cleary	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	dccleary@mwe.com	Counsel for Recticel North America, Inc.
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Mohsin N. Khambati	227 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60606	312-372-2000	312-984-7700	mkhambati@mwe.com	Counsel for Recticel North America, Inc.
McTigue Law Firm	J. Brian McTigue	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	bmctigue@mctiguelaw.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
McTigue Law Firm	Cornish F. Hitchcock	5301 Wisconsin Ave. N.W.	Suite 350	Washington	DC	20015	202-364-6900	202-364-9960	conh@mctiguelaw.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Mesirow Financial	Melissa Knolls	321 N. Clark St.	13th Floor	Chicago	IL	60601	800-453-0600	312-644-8927	mknoll@mesirofinancial.com	UCC Professional
Morrison Cohen LLP	Joseph T. Moldovan, Esq.	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-735-8603	917-522-23103	jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel for Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Northeast Regional Office	Mark Schonfeld, Regional Director	3 World Financial Center	Room 4300	New York	NY	10281	212-336-1100	212-336-1323	newyork@sec.gov	Securities and Exchange Commission
O'Melveny & Meyer LLP	Robert Siegel	400 South Hope Street		Los Angeles	CA	90071	213-430-6000	213-430-6407	rsiegel@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
O'Melveny & Meyer LLP	Tom A. Jerman, Rachel Janger	1625 Eye Street, NW		Washington	DC	20006	202-383-5300	202-383-5414	tjerman@omm.com	Special Labor Counsel
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Jeffrey Cohen	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005	202-326-4020	202-326-4112	garrick.sandra@pbgc.gov efile@pbgc.gov	Counsel for Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Ralph L. Landy	1200 K Street, N.W.	Suite 340	Washington	DC	20005-4026	2023264020	2023264112	landy.ralph@pbgc.gov	Chief Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Phillips Nizer LLP	Sandra A. Riemer	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103	212-841-0589	212-262-5152	sriemer@phillipsnizer.com	Counsel for Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems
Rothchild Inc.	David L. Resnick	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020	212-403-3500	212-403-5454	david.resnick@us.rothschild.com	Financial Advisor
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk	1270 Avenue of the Americas	Suite 2500	New York	NY	10020-1801	2122185500	2122185526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel for Murata Electronics North
Shearman & Sterling LLP	Douglas Bartner, Jill Frizzley	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-8484000	212-848-7179	dbartner@shearman.com jfrizzley@shearman.com	Local Counsel to the Debtors
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H. Trust, William T. Russell, Jr.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com wrussell@stblaw.com	Prepetition Administrative Agent
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons, Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	jbutler@skadden.com jlyonsch@skadden.com rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J. Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	kmarafio@skadden.com tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Daniel D. Doyle	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	ddoyle@spencerfane.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP	Nicholas Franke	1 North Brentwood Boulevard	Tenth Floor	St. Louis	MO	63105	314-863-7733	314-862-4656	nfranke@spencerfane.com	Counsel for Movant Retirees and Proposed Counsel for The Official Committee of Retirees
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Constantine D. Pourakis	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022	2123198500	2123198505	cp@stevenslee.com cs@stevenslee.com	Counsel for Wamco, Inc.
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119	212-594-5000	212-967-4258	altogut@teamtogut.com	Conflicts Counsel to the Debtors
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	martin.bienenstock@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Michael P. Kessler, Esq.	767 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10153	212-310-8000	212-310-8007	michael.kessler@weil.com	Counsel to General Motors Corporation
Wilmington Trust Company	Steven M. Cimalore	Rodney Square North	1100 North Market Street	Wilmington	DE	19890	302-636-6058	302-636-4143	scimalore@wilmingtontrust.com	Creditor Committee Member/Indenture Trustee

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Airgas, Inc.	David Boyle	259 Radnor-Chester Road, Suite 100	P.O. Box 6675	Radnor	PA	19087-8675		610-230-3064	310-687-1052	david.boyle@airgas.com	Counsel for Airgas, Inc.
Ajamie LLP	Thomas A. Ajamie	711 Louisiana	Suite 2150	Houston	TX	77002		713-860-1600	713-860-1699	tajamie@ajamie.com	Counsel for SANLUIS Rassinini International, Inc.; Rassinini, S.A. de C.V.
Ajamie LLP	Wallace A. Showman	1350 Avenue of the Americas	29th Floor	New York	NY	10019		212-246-6820	212-581-8958	wshowman@ajamie.com	Counsel for SANLUIS Rassinini International, Inc.; Rassinini, S.A. de C.V.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Peter J. Gurfein	2029 Century Park East	Suite 2400	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-552-6696	310-229-1001	pgurfein@akingump.com	Counsel for Kilroy Realty, L.P.
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP	Michael S. Greger	1900 Main Street	Fifth Floor	Irvine	CA	92614-7321		949-553-1313	949-553-8354	mgreger@allenmatkins.com	Representative for American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.	Steven R. Keyes	One Dauch Drive, Mail Code 6E-2-42		Detroit	MI	48243		313-758-4868		steven.keyes@aam.com	Counsel for ITW Mortgage Investments IV, Inc.
Andrews Kurth LLP	Monica S. Blacker	1717 Main Street	Suite 3700	Dallas	TX	75201		214-659-4400	214-659-4401	mblacker@andrewskurth.com	Counsel for Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
Angelo, Gordon & Co.	Leigh Walzer	245 Park Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10167		212-692-8251	212-867-6395	lwalzer@angelogordon.com	Counsel for Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
Anglin, Flewelling, Rasmussen, Campbell & Trytten, LLP	Mark T. Flewelling	199 South Los Robles Avenue	Suite 600	Pasadena	CA	91101-2459		626-535-1900	626-577-7764	mtf@afrc.com	Counsel for Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
APS Clearing, Inc.	Andy Leinhoff	1301 S. Capital of Texas Highway	Suite B-220	Austin	TX	78746		512-314-4416	512-314-4462	aleinhoff@amph.com	Counsel for Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
APS Clearing, Inc.	Matthew Hamilton	1301 S. Capital of Texas Highway	Suite B-220	Austin	TX	78746		512-314-4416	512-314-4462	mhamilton@amph.com	Counsel for Stanley Electric Sales of America, Inc.
Arent Fox PLLC	Mitchell D. Cohen	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	212-484-3990	Cohen.Mitchell@arentfox.com	Counsel for Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arent Fox PLLC	Robert M. Hirsh	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019		212-484-3900	212-484-3990	Hirsh.Robert@arentfox.com	Counsel for Pullman Bank and Trust Company
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP	Darryl S. Laddin	171 17th Street NW	Suite 2100	Atlanta	GA	30363-1031		404-873-8120	404-873-8121	dladdin@agg.com	Counsel to Daishinku (America) Corp. d/b/a KDS America ("Daishinku"), SBC Telecommunications, Inc. (SBC)
Arnold & Porter LLP	Joel M. Gross	555 Twelfth Street, N.W.		Washington	D.C.	20004-1206		202-942-5000	202-942-5999	joel_gross@aporter.com	Counsel for CSX Transportation, Inc.
ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.	Carl Galloway	250 Royal Oak Road		Cambridge	Ontario	N3H 4R6	Canada	519-653-4483	519-650-6520	cgalloway@atsautomation.com	Company
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum Perlman, & Nagelberg LLP	Kimberly J. Robinson	333 West Wacker Drive	Suite 2700	Chicago	IL	60606		312-629-5170	312-984-3150	kim_robinson@bfkpn.com	Counsel for Motion Industries, Inc.
Barack, Ferrazzano, Kirschbaum Perlman, & Nagelberg LLP	William J. Barrett	333 West Wacker Drive	Suite 2700	Chicago	IL	60606		312-629-5170	312-984-3150	william_barrett@bfkpn.com	Counsel for Motion Industries, Inc.
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Alan K. Mills	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	alan.mills@btlaw.com	Counsel to Priority Health
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	John T. Gregg	300 Ottawa Avenue, NW	Suite 500	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3930	626-742-3999	john.gregg@btlaw.com	Counsel to Armada Rubber Manufacturing Company, Bank of America Leasing & Leasing & Capital, LLC, & AutoCam Corporation
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Patrick E. Mears	300 Ottawa Avenue, NW	Suite 500	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-742-3936	616-742-3999	pmears@btlaw.com	Counsel for Gibbs Die Casting Corporation
Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Michael K. McCrory	11 S. Meridian Street		Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-236-1313	317-231-7433	wendy.brewer@btlaw.com michael.mccrory@btlaw.com	Counsel for Gibbs Die Casting Corporation
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Hannah E. Greenwald	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1411	2125541444	hannah@blbglaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Mark D. Debrovski	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1492	2125541444	markd@blbglaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	John P. Coffey	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019		212-554-1409	2125541444	sean@blbglaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Berry Moorman P.C.	James P. Murphy	535 Griswold	Suite 1900	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-1200	313-496-1300	murph@berrymoorman.com	Counsel for Kamax L.P.; Optrex America, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Kenneth T. Law, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	klaw@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Lawrence M. Schwab, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	lschwab@bbslaw.com	Counsel to UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc.; Soletron Corporation; Soletron De Mexico SA de CV; Soletron Invoitronics; Coherent, Inc.; Veritas Software Corporation
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Patrick M. Costello, Esq.	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	pcostello@bbslaw.com	Soletron Corporation; Soletron de Mexico SA de CV; Soletron Invoitronics and Coherent, Inc.
Bialson, Bergen & Schwab	Thomas M. Gaa	2600 El Camino Real	Suite 300	Palo Alto	CA	94306		650-857-9500	650-494-2738	tgaa@bbslaw.com	Counsel to Veritas Software Corporation
Blank Rome LLP	Bonnie Glantz Fatell	Chase Manhattan Centre 1201 Market Street, Suite 800		Wilmington	DE	19801		302-425-6423	302-428-5110	fatell@blankrome.com	Counsel for Special Devices, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Blank Rome LLP	Marc E. Richards	The Chrysler Building	405 Lexington Avenue	New York	NY	10174		212-885-5000	212-885-5002	mrichards@blankrome.com	Counsel for DENSO International America, Inc.
Bodman LLP	Ralph E. McDowell	100 Renaissance Center	34th Floor	Detroit	MI	48243		313-393-7592	313-393-7579	rmcdowell@bodmanllp.com	Counsel for Freudenberg-NOK; General Partnership; Freudenberg-NOK, Inc.; Flextech, Inc.; Vibracoustic de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.; Lear Corporation; American Axle & Manufacturing, Inc.
Bolhouse, Vander Hulst, Risko & Baar P.C.	David S. Lefere	3996 Chicago Drive SW		Grandville	MI	49418		616-531-7711	616-531-7757	davidl@bolhouselaw.com	Counsel for Eclipse Tool and Die, Inc.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Camille W. Hill	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	chill@bsk.com	Counsel for Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp.
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Charles J. Sullivan	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	csullivan@bsk.com	Counsel for Diemolding Corporation
Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Stephen A. Donato	One Lincoln Center	18th Floor	Syracuse	NY	13202		315-218-8000	315-218-8100	sdonato@bsk.com	Counsel for Marquardt GmbH and Marquardt Switches, Inc.; Tessy Plastics Corp.; Diemolding Corporation
Bose McKinney & Evans LLP	Jeannette Eisan Hinshaw	135 N. Pennsylvania Street	Suite 2700	Indianapolis	IN	46204		317-684-5296	317-684-5173	jhinshaw@boselaw.com	Counsel for Decatur Plastics Products, Inc. and Eikenberry & Associates, Inc.; Lorentson Manufacturing, Company, Inc.; Lorentson Tooling, Inc.; L & S Tools, Inc.; Hewitt Tool & Die, Inc.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Austin L. McMullen	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	615-252-6307	amcmullen@bccb.com	Counsel for Calsonic Kasei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC	Roger G. Jones	1600 Division Street, Suite 700	PO Box 34005	Nashville	TN	37203		615-252-2307	615-252-6307	rjones@bccb.com	Counsel for Calsonic Kasei North America, Inc.; Calsonic Harrison Co., Ltd.
Brown & Connery, LLP	Donald K. Ludman	6 North Broad Street		Woodbury	NJ	08096		856-812-8900	856-853-9933	dludman@brownconnery.com	Counsel for SAP America, Inc.
Buchalter Nemer, A Profesional Corporation	Shawn M. Christianson	333 Market Street	25th Floor	San Francisco	CA	94105-2126		415-227-0900	415-227-0770	schristianson@buchalter.com	Counsel for Oracle USA, Inc.; Oracle Credit Corporation
Burr & Forman LLP	Michael Leo Hall	420 North Twentieth Street	Suite 3100	Birmingham	AL	35203		(205) 458-5367	(205) 244-5651	mhall@burr.com	Counsel to Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc.
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Jonathan Greenberg	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	732-205-6777	jonathan.greenberg@engelhard.com	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP	Robert Usadi	80 Pine Street		New York	NY	10005		212-701-3000	212-269-5420	rusadi@cahill.com	Counsel to Engelhard Corporation
Carson Fischer, P.L.C.	Robert A. Weisberg	300 East Maple Road	Third Floor	Birmingham	MI	48009-6317		248-644-4840	248-644-1832	rweisberg@carsonfischer.com	Counsel for Cascade Die Casting Group, Inc.
Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP	Aaron R. Cahn	2 Wall Street		New York	NY	10005		212-732-3200	212-732-3232	cahn@clm.com	Counsel for STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Clark Hill PLC	Seth A. Drucker	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8300	313-965-8252	sdrucker@clarkhill.com	Counsel for BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Clark Hill PLLC	Robert D. Gordon	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435		313-965-8572	313-965-8252	rgordon@clarkhill.com	Counsel for ATS Automation Tooling Systems Inc.
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP	Deborah M. Buell	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	212-225-3999	maofiling@cgsh.com	Counsel for Arneses Electricos Automotrices, S.A. de C.V.; Cordaflex, S.A. de C.V.
Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton LLP	James L. Bromley	One Liberty Plaza		New York	NY	10006		212-225-2000	212-225-3999	maofiling@cgsh.com	Counsel for Bear, Stearns, Co. Inc.; Citigroup, Inc.; Credit Suisse First Boston; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.; JP Morgan Chase & Co.; Lehman Brothers, Inc.; Merrill Lynch & Co.; Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.; UBS Securities, LLC
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.	Thomas D. Maxson	11 Starwix Street	15th Floor	Pittsburgh	PA	15222-1319		412-297-4706	412-209-1837	tmaxson@cohenlaw.com	Counsel for Nova Chemicals, Inc.
Cohen, Weiss & Simon LLP	Joseph J. Vitale	330 West 42nd Street		New York	NY	10036		212-356-0238	646-473-8238	jvitale@cwsny.com	Counsel for International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agriculture Implement Works of America (UAW)
Cohn Birnbaum & Shea P.C.	Scott D. Rosen, Esq.	100 Pearl Street, 12th Floor		Hartford	CT	06103		860-493-2200	860-727-0361	srosen@cb-shea.com	Counsel to Floyd Manufacturing Co., Inc.
Conlin, McKenney & Philbrick, P.C.	Bruce N. Elliott	350 South Main Street	Suite 400	Ann Arbor	MI	48104		734-971-9000	734-971-9001	elliott@cmplaw.com	Counsel to Brazeway, Inc.
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP	Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq.	1007 N. Orange Street	P.O. Box 2207	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-658-9141	302-658-0380	wisler@cblh.com	Counsel to ORIX Warren, LLC
Contrarian Capital Management, L.L.C.	Mark Lee, Janice Stanton, Bill Raine, Seth Lax	411 West Putnam Avenue	Suite 225	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-862-8200	203-629-1977	mlee@contrariancapital.com jstanton@contrariancapital.com wraime@contrariancapital.com solax@contrariancapital.com	Counsel to Contrarian Capital Management, L.L.C.
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Sylvie J. Derrien	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	937-223-6705	derrien@coollaw.com	Counsel for Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Ronald S. Pretekin	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	937-223-6705	Pretekin@coollaw.com	Counsel for Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company
Coolidge, Wall, Womsley & Lombard Co. LPA	Steven M. Wachstein	33 West First Street	Suite 600	Dayton	OH	45402		937-223-8177	937-223-6705	wachstein@coollaw.com	Counsel for Harco Industries, Inc.; Harco Brake Systems, Inc.; Dayton Supply & Tool Company

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Daniel P. Mazo	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	215-736-3647	dpm@curtinheefner.com	Counsel for SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
Curtin & Heefner, LLP	Robert Szwajkos	250 N. Pennsylvania Avenue		Morrisville	PA	19067		215-736-2521	215-736-3647	rsz@curtinheefner.com	Counsel for SPS Technologies, LLC; NSS Technologies, Inc.; SPS Technologies Waterford Company; Greer Stop Nut, Inc.
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Andrew M. Thau	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061		212-696-8898	917-368-8898	athau@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	David S. Karp	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061		212-696-6065	212-697-1559	dkarp@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP	Steven J. Reisman	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0061		212-696-6065	212-697-1559	sreisman@cm-p.com	Counsel for Flextronics International, Inc.; Flextronics International USA, Inc.; Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc.; Sheldahl de Mexico S.A.de C.V.; Northfield Acquisition Co.; Flextronics Asia-Pacific Ltd.; Flextronics Technology (M) Sdn. Bhd
DaimlerChrysler Corporation	Kim Kolb	CIMS 485-13-32	1000 Chrysler Drive	Auburn Hills	MI	48326-2766		248-576-5741		krk4@daimlerchrysler.com	Counsel for DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.
Damon & Morey LLP	William F. Savino	1000 Cathedral Place	298 Main Street	Buffalo	NY	14202-4096		716-856-5500	716-856-5510	wsavino@damonmorey.com	Counsel for Relco, Inc.; The Durham Companies, Inc.
Daniels & Kaplan, P.C.	Jay Selanders	2405 Grand Boulevard	Suite 900	Kansas City	MO	64108-2519		816-221-3086	816-221-3006	selanders@danielsandkaplan.com	Counsel for DaimlerChrysler Corporation; DaimlerChrysler Motors Company, LLC; DaimlerChrysler Canada, Inc.
Denso International America, Inc.	Carol Sowa	24777 Denso Drive		Southfield	MI	48086		248-372-8531	248-350-7772	carol_sowa@denso-diam.com	Counsel to Denso International America, Inc.
DiConza Law, P.C.	Gerard DiConza, Esq.	630 Third Avenue, 7th Floor		New York	NY	10017		212-682-4940	212-682-4942	gdiConza@dlawpc.com	Counsel to Tyz-All Plastics, Inc.
Dinsmore & Shohl LLP	John Persiani	1900 Chemed Center	255 East Fifth Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-977-8200	513-977-8141	john_persiani@dinslaw.com	Counsel for The Procter & Gamble Company
DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP	Richard M. Kremen Maria Ellena Chavez-Ruark	The Marbury Building	6225 Smith Avenue	Baltimore	Maryland	21209-3600		410-580-3000	410-580-3001	richard.kremen@dlapiper.com	Counsel for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. & Constellation NewEnergy - Gas Division, LLC
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	Andrew C. Kassner	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	215-988-2757	andrew.kassner@dbr.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP	David B. Aaronson	18th and Cherry Streets		Philadelphia	PA	19103		215-988-2700	215-988-2757	david.aaronson@dbr.com	Counsel to Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P. and Quaker Chemical Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Margery N. Reed, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	215-979-1020	dmdelphi@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company
Duane Morris LLP	Joseph H. Lemkin	744 Broad Street	Suite 1200	Newark	NJ	07102		973-424-2000	973-424-2001	jlemkin@duanemorris.com	Counsel for NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; Hosiden America Corporation and Samtech Corporation
Duane Morris LLP	Wendy M. Simkulak, Esq.	30 South 17th Street		Philadelphia	PA	19103-4196		215-979-1000	215-979-1020	wmsimkulak@duanemorris.com	Counsel to ACE American Insurance Company
Electronic Data Systems Corporation	Ayala Hassell	5400 Legacy Dr.	Mail Stop H3-3A-05	Plano	TX	75024		212-715-9100	212-715-8000	ayala_hassell@eds.com	Representative for Electronic Data Systems Corporation
Erman, Teicher, Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C.	David H. Freedman	400 Galleria Offcentre	Ste. 444	Southfield	MI	48034		248-827-4100	248-827-4106	dfreedman@ermanteicher.com	Counsel for Doshi Prettl International, LLC
Erman, Teicher, Miller, Zucker & Freedman, P.C.	Earle I. Erman	400 Galleria Offcentre	Ste. 444	Southfield	MI	48034		248-827-4100	248-827-4106	erman@ermanteicher.com	Counsel for Doshi Prettl International, LLC
Fagel Haber LLC	Gary E. Green	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603		312-346-7500	312-580-2201	ggreen@fagelhaber.com	Counsel for Aluminum International, Inc.
Fagel Haber LLC	Lauren Newman	55 East Monroe	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603		312-346-7500	312-580-2201	lnewman@fagelhaber.com	Counsel for Aluminum International, Inc.
Finkel Goldstein Rosenbloom & Nash LLP	Ted J. Donovan	26 Broadway	Suite 711	New York	NY	10004		212-344-2929	212-422-6836	tdonovan@finkgold.com	Counsel for Pillarhouse (U.S.A.) Inc.
Foley & Lardner LLP	Jill L. Murch	321 North Clark Street	Suite 2800	Chicago	IL	60610-4764		312-832-4500	312-832-4700	lmurch@foley.com	Counsel for Kuss Corporation
Fox Rothschild LLP	Fred Stevens	13 East 37th Street	Suite 800	New York	NY	10016		212-682-7575	212-682-4218	fstevens@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Fox Rothschild LLP	Michael J. Viscount, Jr.	1301 Atlantic Avenue	Suite 400	Atlantic City	NJ	08401-7212		609-348-4515	609-348-6834	mviscount@foxrothschild.com	Counsel to M&Q Plastic Products, Inc.
Frederick T. Rikkers		419 Venture Court	P.O. Box 930555	Verona	WI	53593		608-848-6350	608-848-6357	frtkkers@rikkerslaw.com	Counsel for Southwest Metal Finishing, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Gazes LLC	Ian J. Gazes	32 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10013		212-765-9000	212-765-9675	ian@gazesllc.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Gazes LLC	Eric Wainer	32 Avenue of the Americas	Suite 1800	New York	NY	10013		212-765-9000	212-765-9675	office@gazesllc.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Genovese Joblove & Battista, P.A.	Craig P. Rieders, Esq.	100 S.E. 2nd Street	Suite 4400	Miami	FL	33131		305-349-2300	305-349-2310	rieders@gjb-law.com	Counsel for Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc.
Gibbons, Del Deo, Dolan, Griffinger & Vecchione	David N. Crapo	One Riverfront Plaza		Newark	NJ	07102-5497		973-596-4523	973-639-6244	dcrapo@gibbonslaw.com	Counsel for Epcos, Inc.
Goodwin Proctor LLP	Allan S. Brilliant	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-813-8800	212-355-3333	abrilliant@goodwinproctor.com	Counsel for UGS Corp.
Goodwin Proctor LLP	Craig P. Druhl	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-813-8800	212-355-3333	cdruhl@goodwinproctor.com	Counsel for UGS Corp.
Gorlick, Kravitz & Listhaus, P.C.	Barbara S. Mehlsack	17 State Street	4th Floor	New York	NY	10004		212-269-2500	212-269-2540	bmehlsack@gklaw.com	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Goulston & Storrs, P.C.	Peter D. Bilowz	400 Atlantic Avenue		Boston	MA	02110-333		617-482-1776	617-574-4112	pbilowz@goulstonstorrs.com	Counsel to Thermotech Company
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Geoffrey C. Jarvis	1201 North Market Street	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-622-7000	302-622-7100	gjarvis@ggelaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Jay W. Eisenhofer	45 Rockefeller Center	650 Fifth Avenue	New York	NY	10111		212-755-6501	212-755-6503	jeisenhofer@gelaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Grant & Eisenhofer P.A.	Sharan Nirmul	1201 North Market Street	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801		302-622-7000	302-622-7100	snirmul@gelaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Jill M. Hartley	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	jh@previant.com	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Matthew R. Robbins	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	mrr@previant.com	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Gratz, Miller & Brueggeman, S.C.	Timothy C. Hall	1555 N. RiverCenter Drive	Suite 202	Milwaukee	WI	53212		414-271-4500	414-271-6308	tch@previant.com	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 10
Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP	J. Michael Debblor, Susan M. Argo	1900 Fifth Third Center	511 Walnut Street	Cincinnati	OH	45202		513-621-6464	513-651-3836	mdebblor@graydon.com	Counsel for Grote Industries; Batesville Tool & Die; PIA Group; Reliable Castings
Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C.	Cherie Macdonald J. Patrick Bradley	10 S. Broadway	Suite 200	St. Louis	MO	63102		314-241-9090	314-241-8624	ckm@greensfelder.com jpb@greensfelder.com	Counsel for ARC Automotive, Inc.
Guaranty Bank	Herb Reiner	8333 Douglas Avenue		Dallas	TX	75225		214-360-2702	214-360-1940	herb.reiner@guarantygroup.com	Counsel for American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation
Halperin Battaglia Raicht, LLP	Alan D. Halperin Christopher J. Battaglia	555 Madison Avenue	9th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-765-9100	212-765-0964	cbattaglia@halperinlaw.net ahalperin@halperinlaw.net	Counsel to Pacific Gas Turbine Center, LLC and Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corporation
Herrick, Feinstein LLP	Paul Rubin	2 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10016		212-592-1448	212-545-3360	prubin@herrick.com	Counsel for Canon U.S.A., Inc. and Schmidt Technology GmbH
Hewlett-Packard Company	Anne Marie Kennelly	3000 Hanover St., M/S 1050		Palo Alto	CA	94304		650-857-6902	650-852-8617	anne.kennelly@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Glen Dumont	420 Mountain Avenue		Murray Hill	NJ	07974		908-898-4750	908-898-4137	glen.dumont@hp.com	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Kenneth F. Higman	2125 E. Katella Avenue	Suite 400	Anaheim	CA	92806		714-940-7120	740-940-7539	ken.higman@hp.com	Counsel to Hewlett-Packard Company
Hewlett-Packard Company	Sharon Petrosino	420 Mountain Avenue		Murray Hill	NJ	07974		908-898-4760	908-898-4133	sharon.petrosino@hp.com	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Hiscock & Barclay, LLP	J. Eric Charlton	300 South Salina Street	PO Box 4878	Syracuse	NY	13221-4878		315-425-2716	315-425-8576	echarlton@hiscockbarclay.com	Counsel for GW Plastics, Inc.
Hodgson Russ LLP	Cheryl R. Storie	One M&T Plaza	Suite 2000	Buffalo	NY	14203		716-848-1275	716-849-0349	cstorie@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel for Hexcel Corporation
Hodgson Russ LLP	Stephen H. Gross, Esq.	Carnegie Hall Tower	152 West 57th Street, 35th Street	New York	NY	10019		212-751-4300	212-751-0928	sgross@hodgsonruss.com	Counsel to Hexcel Corporation
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Audrey Moog	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	202-637-5910	amoog@hhlaw.com	Counsel for Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Edward C. Dolan	Columbia Square	555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	Washington	D.C.	20004-1109		202-637-5677	202-637-5910	ecdolan@hhlaw.com	Counsel for Umicore Autocat Canada Corp.
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.	Scott A. Golden	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-918-3000	212-918-3100	sagolden@hhlaw.com	Counsel for XM Satellite Radio Inc.
Holme Roberts & Owen, LLP	Elizabeth K. Flaagan	1700 Lincoln	Suite 4100	Denver	CO	80203		303-861-7000	303-866-0200	elizabeth flaagan@hro.com	Counsel for CoorsTek, Inc.; Corus, L.P.
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Donald T. Baty, Jr.	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226		313-465-7314	313-465-7315	dbaty@honigman.com	Counsel for Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	Robert B. Weiss, Frank L. Gorman	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226-3583		313-465-7000	313-465-8000	rweiss@honigman.com fgorman@honigman.com	Counsel for General Motors Corporation
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	John J. Hunter	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	419-255-9121	jrhunter@hunterschank.com	Counsel for ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunter & Schank Co. LPA	Thomas J. Schank	One Canton Square	1700 Canton Avenue	Toledo	OH	43624		419-255-4300	419-255-9121	tomschank@hunterschank.com	Counsel for ZF Group North America Operations, Inc.
Hunton & Williams LLP	Michael P. Massad, Jr.	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	214-880-0011	mmassad@hunton.com	Counsel for RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hunton & Williams LLP	Steven T. Holmes	Energy Plaza, 30th Floor	1601 Bryan Street	Dallas	TX	75201		214-979-3000	214-880-0011	sholmes@hunton.com	Counsel for RF Monolithics, Inc.
Hurwitz & Fine P.C.	Ann E. Evanko	1300 Liberty Building		Buffalo	NY	14202		716-849-8900	716-855-0874	ae@hurwitzfine.com	Counsel for Jiffy-Tite Co., Inc.
Ice Miller	Ben T. Caughey	One American Square	Box 82001	Indianapolis	IN	46282-0200		317-236-2100	317-236-2219	Ben.Caughey@icemiller.com	Counsel for Surmco, Inc.
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Greg Bibbes	1730 North First Street	M/S 11305	San Jose	CA	95112		408-501-6442	408-501-2488	greg.bibbes@infineon.com	General Counsel & Vice President for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Infineon Technologies North America Corporation	Jeff Gillespie	2529 Commerce Drive	Suite H	Kokomo	IN	46902		765-454-2146	765-456-3836	jeffery.gillespie@infineon.com	Global Account Manager for Infineon Technologies North America
International Union of Operating Engineers	Richard Griffin	1125-17th Avenue, N.W.		Washington	DC	20036		202-429-9100	202-778-2641	rgriffin@iuoe.org	Counsel for International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local Unions No. 663; International Association of Machinists; AFL-CIO Tool and Die Makers Local Lodge 78, District 11
Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss, P.C.	Paige E. Barr	27777 Franklin Road	Suite 2500	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-3000	248-351-3082	pbarr@jaffelaw.com	Counsel for Trutron Corporation
Jenner & Block LLP	Ronald R. Peterson	One IBM Plaza		Chicago	IL	60611		312-222-9350	312-840-7381	rpeterson@jenner.com	Counsel for SPX Corporation (Contech Division), Alcan Rolled Products-Ravenswood, LLC and Tenneco Inc.
Jones Day	Scott J. Friedman	222 East 41st Street		New York	NY	10017		212-326-3939	212-755-7306	sjfriedman@jonesday.com	Counsel for WL Ross & Co., LLC
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	John P. Sieger, Esq.	525 West Monroe Street		Chicago	IL	60661		312-902-5200	312-577-4733	john.sieger@kattenlaw.com	Counsel to TDK Corporation America and MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc.
Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter Co., LPA	Kenneth R. Cookson	65 East State Street	Suite 1800	Columbus	OH	43215		614-426-5400	614-464-2634	kcookson@keglerbrown.com	Counsel for Solution Recovery Services
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Mark I. Bane	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	212-808-7897	mbane@kelleydrye.com	Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP	Mark R. Somerstein	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-808-7800	212-808-7897	msomerstein@kelleydrye.com	Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Larry Magarik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	lmagarik@kjmllabor.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communications Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Susan M. Jennik	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	sjennick@kjmllabor.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communications Workers of America
Kennedy, Jennick & Murray	Thomas Kennedy	113 University Place	7th Floor	New York	NY	10003		212-358-1500	212-358-0207	tkennedy@kjmllabor.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communications Workers of America
Kieselstein Lawfirm PLLC	Steve Kieselstein	43 British American Boulevard		Latham	NY	12110		518-785-7800	518-785-7851	sk@kieselaw.com	Counsel to NEC Electronics America, Inc.
King & Spalding, LLP	Alexandra B. Feldman	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	212-556-2222	afeldman@kslaw.com	Counsel for Martinrea International, Inc.
King & Spalding, LLP	George B. South, III	1185 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036		212-556-2100	212-556-2222	gsouth@kslaw.com	Counsel for Martinrea International, Inc.
Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Geoffrey A. Richards	200 East Randolph Drive		Chicago	IL	60601		312-861-2000	312-861-2200	grichards@kirkland.com	Counsel for Lunt Manufacturing Company
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham LLP	Edward M. Fox	599 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-536-4812	212-536-3901	efox@king.com	Counsel to Wilmington Trust Company, as Indenture trustee
Krugliak, Wilkins, Griffiths & Dougherty CO., L.P.A.	Sam O. Simmerman	4775 Munson Street N.W.	P.O. Box 36963	Canton	OH	44735-6963		330-497-0700	330-497-4020	sosimmerman@kwgd.com	Counsel to for Millwood, Inc.
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Edward D. Kutchin	155 Federal Street	17th Floor	Boston	MA	02110-1727		617-542-3000	617-542-3001	ekutchin@kutchinrufo.com	Counsel for Parlex Corporation
Lambert, Leser, Isackson, Cook & Guinta, P.C.	Susan M. Cook	309 Davidson Building	PO Box 835	Bay City	MI	48707-0835		989-893-3518		smcook@lambertleser.com	Counsel for Linamar Corporation
Latham & Watkins	Erika Ruiz	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	erika.ruiz@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Henry P. Baer, Jr.	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	henry.baer@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	John W. Weiss	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	john.weiss@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mark A. Broude	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1384	212-751-4864	mark.broude@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Michael J. Riela	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	michael.riela@lw.com	UCC Professional
Latham & Watkins	Mitchell A. Seider	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-906-1200	212-751-4864	mitchell.seider@lw.com	UCC Professional

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Lewis and Roca LLP	Rob Charles, Esq.	One South Church Street	Suite 700	Tucson	AZ	85701		520-629-4427	520-879-4705	rcharles@rlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Lewis and Roca LLP	Susan M. Freeman, Esq.	40 North Central Avenue	Suite 1900	Phoenix	AZ	85004-4429		602-262-5756	602-734-3824	sfreeman@rlaw.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Linear Technology Corporation	John England, Esq.	1630 McCarthy Blvd.		Milpitas	CA	95035-7417		408-432-1900	408-434-0507	jengland@linear.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Diane W. Sanders	1949 South IH 35 (78741)	P.O. Box 17428	Austin	TX	78760-7428		512-447-6675	512-443-5114	austin_bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel to Cameron County, Brownsville ISD
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	Elizabeth Weller	2323 Bryan Street	Suite 1600	Dallas	TX	75201		214-880-0089	4692215002	dallas_bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel for Dallas County and Tarrant County
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP	John P. Dillman	P.O. Box 3064		Houston	TX	77253-3064		713-844-3478	713-844-3503	houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com	Counsel in Charge for Taxing Authorities
Loeb & Loeb LLP	William M. Hawkins	345 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10154		212-407-4000	212-407-4990	whawkins@loeb.com	Counsel for Industrial Ceramics Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Bruce S. Nathan	1251 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	bnathan@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Daewoo International (America) Corp.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Ira M. Levee	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	ilevee@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Kenneth A. Rosen	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	krosen@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Michael S. Etikin	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Floor	New York	NY	10020		212-262-6700	212-262-7402	metkin@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Scott Cargill	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	scargill@lowenstein.com	Counsel for Cerberus Capital Management, L.P.; AT&T Corporation
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Vincent A. D'Agostino	65 Livingston Avenue		Roseland	NJ	07068		973-597-2500	973-597-2400	vdagostino@lowenstein.com	Counsel for AT&T Corporation
MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton LLP	Richard J. Parks	100 State Street	Suite 700	Erie	PA	16507-1459		814-870-7754	814-454-4647	rparks@mijb.com	Counsel for Ideal Tool Company, Inc.
Margulies & Levinson, LLP	Jeffrey M. Levinson, Esq. Leah M. Caplan, Esq.	30100 Chagrin Boulevard	Suite 250	Pepper Pike	OH	44124		216-514-4935	216-514-4936	jml@ml-legal.com lmc@ml-legal.com	Counsel for Venture Plastics
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	James M. Sullivan	50 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10020		212-547-5400	212-547-5444	jmsullivan@mwe.com	Counsel to Linear Technology Corporation, National Semiconductor Corporation; Timken Corporation
McDermott Will & Emery LLP	Stephen B. Selbst	50 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10020		212-547-5400	212-547-5444	sselbst@mwe.com	Counsel for National Semiconductor Corporation
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Jean R. Robertson, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, East	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	216-348-5474	jrobertson@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Brush Engineered materials
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Scott N. Opincar, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	216-348-5474	sopincar@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McDonald Hopkins Co., LPA	Shawn M. Riley, Esq.	600 Superior Avenue, E.	Suite 2100	Cleveland	OH	44114		216-348-5400	216-348-5474	sriley@mcdonaldhopkins.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP	Jeffrey Bernstein, Esq.	Three Gateway Center	100 Mulberry Street	Newark	NJ	07102-4079		973-622-7711	973-622-5314	jbernstein@mdmc-law.com	Counsel to New Jersey Self-Insurers Guaranty Association
McGuirewoods LLP	Elizabeth L. Gunn	One James Center	901 East Cary Street	Richmond	VA	23219-4030		804-775-1178	804-698-2186	egunn@mcguirewoods.com	Counsel for Siemens Logistics Assembly Systems, Inc.
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Hanan Kolko	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	212-239-1311	hkolko@msek.com	Counsel for The International Union of Electronic, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers - Communicaitons Workers of America
Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, P.C.	Lowell Peterson, Esq.	1350 Broadway	Suite 501	New York	NY	10018		212-239-4999	212-239-1311	lpeterson@msek.com	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Miller Johnson	Thomas P. Sarb Robert D. Wolford	250 Monroe Avenue, N.W.	Suite 800, PO Box 306	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0306		616-831-1748 616-831-1726	616-988-1748 616-988-1726	sarbt@millerjohnson.com wolfordr@millerjohnson.com	Counsel to Pridgeon & Clay, Inc.
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Timothy A. Fusco	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8435	313-496-8453	fuscot@millerjohnson.com	Counsel for Niles USA Inc.; Techcentral, LLC; The Bartech Group, Inc.; Fischer Automotive Systems
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.	Jonathan S. Green	150 W. Jefferson Avenue	Suite 2500	Detroit	MI	48226		313-496-8452	313-496-7997	greenj@millerjohnson.com	Counsel for Wells Operating Partnership, LP
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Pepco, P.C.	Michael L. Schein	666 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-935-3000	212-983-3115	mjschein@mintz.com	Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc.; Conceria Pasubio
Mitsubishi Electric & Electronics USA, Inc.	John E. Cipriano	500 Corporate Woods Parkway		Vernon Hills	IL	60061		847-478-2383	847-478-2281	john.cipriano@meus.me.com	Assistant General Counsel for Mitsubishi Electric & Electronics USA, Inc.
Molex Connector Corp	Jeff Ott	2222 Wellington Ct.		Lisle	IL	60532		630-527-4254	630-512-8610	Jeff.Ott@molex.com	Counsel for Molex Connector Corp

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Andrew D. Gottfried	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0060		212-309-6000	212-309-6001	agottfried@morganlewis.com	Counsel for ITT Industries, Inc.; Hitachi Chemical (Singapore), Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Menachem O. Zelmanovitz	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178		212-309-6000	212-309-6001	mzelmanovitz@morganlewis.com	Counsel for Hitachi Chemical (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Richard W. Esterkin, Esq.	300 South Grand Avenue		Los Angeles	CA	90017		213-612-1163	213-612-2501	resterkin@morganlewis.com	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation
Moritt Hock Hamroff & Horowitz LLP	Leslie Ann Berkoff	400 Garden City Plaza		Garden City	NY	11530		516-873-2000		lberkoff@moritthock.com	Counsel for Standard Microsystems Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries Oasis Silicon Systems AG and SMSC NA Automotive, LLC (successor-in-interest to Oasis Silicon Systems, Inc.)
Morris, Nichols, Arsht and Tunnell	Michael G. Busenkell	PO Box 1347		Wilmington	DE	19899-1347		302-658-9200	302-658-3989	mbusenkell@mnat.com	Counsel for Chicago Miniature Optoelectronic Technologies, Inc.
Morris, Nichols, Arsht and Tunnell	Robert J. Dehney	PO Box 1347		Wilmington	DE	19899-1347		302-658-9200	302-658-3989	rdehney@mnat.com	Counsel for Chicago Miniature Optoelectronic Technologies, Inc.
Morrison Cohen LLP	Joseph T. Moldovan Michael R. Dal Lago	909 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-735-8603 212-735-8757	917-522-3103 917-522-3157	jmoldovan@morrisoncohen.com mdallago@morrisoncohen.com	Counsel to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan
Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C.	Raymond J. Urbanik, Esq., Joseph J. Wielebinski, Esq., and Davor Rukavina, Esq.	4000 Fountain Place	1445 Ross Avenue	Dallas	RX	75202-2790		214-855-7590 214-855-7561 214-855-7587	214-978-4374	rurbanik@munsch.com jwielebinski@munsch.com drukavina@munsch.com	Counsel for Texas Instruments Incorporated
Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C.	Kenneth A. Nathan	29100 Northwestern Highway	Suite 260	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-0099	248-351-0487	Knathan@nathanneuman.com	Counsel for 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
Nathan, Neuman & Nathan, P.C.	Susanna C. Brennan	29100 Northwestern Highway	Suite 260	Southfield	MI	48034		248-351-0099	248-351-0487	sbrennan@nathanneuman.com	Counsel for 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
National City Commercial Capital	Lisa M. Moore	995 Dalton Avenue		Cincinnati	OH	45203		513-455-2390	866-298-4481	lisa.moore2@nationalcity.com	Vice President and Senior Counsel for National City Commercial Capital
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough	George B. Cauthen	1320 Main Street, 17th Floor	PO Box 11070	Columbia	SC	29201		803-7255-9425	803-256-7500	george.cauthen@nelsonmullins.com	Counsel for Datwyler Rubber & Plastics, Inc.; Datwyler, Inc.; Datwyler i/o devices (Americas), Inc.; Rothrist Tube (USA), Inc.
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Bradley E. Beckworth	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	bbeckworth@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Jeffrey J. Angelovich	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	jangelovich@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Nix, Patterson & Roach, L.L.P.	Susan Whatley	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638		903-645-7333	903-645-4415	susanwhatley@nixlawfirm.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus	Elizabeth L. Abdelmasieh, Esq.	721 Route 202-206	P.O. Box 1018	Somerville	NJ	08876		908-722-0700	908-722-0755	eabdelmasieh@nmmlaw.com	Counsel for Rotor Clip Company, Inc.
North Point	David G. Heiman	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114		216-586-3939	216-579-0212	dghelman@jonesday.com	Counsel for WL Ross & Co., LLC
North Point	Michelle M. Harner	901 Lakeside Avenue		Cleveland	OH	44114		216-586-3939	216-579-0212	mmharner@jonesday.com	Counsel for WL Ross & Co., LLC
Office of the Texas Attorney General	Jay W. Hurst	P.O. Box 12548		Austin	TX	78711-2548		512-475-4861	512-482-8341	jay.hurst@oag.state.tx.us	Counsel for The Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts
Orbotech, Inc.	Michael M. Zizza, Legal Manager	44 Manning Road		Billerica	MA	01821		978-901-5025	978-667-9969	michaeltz@orbotech.com	Company
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Alyssa Englund, Esq.	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103		212-506-5187	212-506-5151	aenglund@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	Frederick D. Holden, Jr., Esq.	405 Howard Street		San Francisco	CA	94105		415-773-5700	415-773-5759	fholden@orrick.com	Counsel to America President Lines, Ltd. And APL Co. Pte Ltd.
Otterbourg, Steindler, Houston & Rosen, P.C.	Melissa A. Hager	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-661-9100	212-682-6104	mhager@oshr.com	Counsel for Sharp Electronics Corporation
Otterbourg, Steindler, Houston & Rosen, P.C.	Scott L. Hazan	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-661-9100	212-682-6104	shazan@oshr.com	Counsel for Sharp Electronics Corporation

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Curtis J. Weidler	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3157	212-373-2053	cweidler@paulweiss.com	Counsel for Ambrake Corporation; Akebono Corporation
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Douglas R. Davis	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	212-757-3990	ddavis@paulweiss.com	Counsel for Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Elizabeth R. McColm	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3000	212-757-3990	emccolm@paulweiss.com	Counsel for Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Stephen J. Shimshak	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019-6064		212-373-3133	212-373-2136	sshimshak@paulweiss.com	Counsel for Ambrake Corporation
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Ralph L. Landy	1200 K. Street, N.W.		Washington	DC	20005-4026		202-326-4020	202-326-4112	landy_ralph@pbgc.gov	Counsel to Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation
Pepe & Hazard LLP	Charles J. Filardi, Jr., Esq.	30 Jelliff Lane		Southport	CT	06890		203-319-4042	203-319-4034	cfilardi@pepehazard.com	Federal Express Corporation
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Anne Marie Aaronson	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	aaronsona@pepperlaw.com	Counsel for Capro, Ltd., Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Linda J. Casey	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	caseyl@pepperlaw.com	Counsel for SKF USA, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Henry Jaffe	1313 Market Street	PO Box 1709	Wilmington	DE	19899-1709		302-777-6500	302-421-8390	jaffeh@pepperlaw.com	Counsel for SKF USA, Inc.
Pepper, Hamilton LLP	Francis J. Lawall	3000 Two logan Square	Eighteenth & Arch Streets	Philadelphia	PA	19103-2799		215-981-4000	215-981-4750	lawallf@pepperlaw.com	Counsel for Capro, Ltd., Teleflex Automotive Manufacturing Corporation and Teleflex Incorporated d/b/a Teleflex Morse (Capro)
Phillips Nizer LLP	Sandra A. Riemer, Esq.	666 Fifth Avenue		New York	NY	10103		212-841-0589	212-262-5152	sriemer@phillipsnizer.com	Counsel to Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. f/k/a Motorola Semiconductor Systems (U.S.A.) Inc.
Pierce Atwood LLP	Jacob A. Manheimer	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101		207-791-1100	207-791-1350	jmanheimer@pierceanwood.com	Counsel for FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Karen B. Dine	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	karen.dine@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for Clarion Corporation of America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Margot P. Erlich	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	margot.erlich@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Mark D. Houle	650 Town Center Drive	7th Floor	Costa Mesa	CA	92626-7122		714-436-6800	714-436-2800	mark.houle@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for Clarion Corporation of America
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Richard L. Epling	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	richard.epling@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Robin L. Spear	1540 Broadway		New York	NY	10036-4039		212-858-1000	212-858-1500	robin.spear@pillsburylaw.com	Counsel for MeadWestvaco Corporation, MeadWestvaco South Carolina LLC and MeadWestvaco Virginia Corporation
Pitney Hardin LLP	Ronald S. Beacher	7 Times Square		New York	NY	10036		212-297-5800	212-682-3485	rbeacher@pitneyhardin.com	Counsel for IBJTC Business Credit Corporation
Pitney Hardin LLP	Richard M. Meth	P.O. Box 1945		Morristown	NJ	07962-1945		973-966-6300	973-966-1015	rmeth@pitneyhardin.com	Counsel for Marshall E. Campbell Company
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	Brett S. Moore, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	973-538-5146	bsmoore@pbnlaw.com	
Porzio, Bromberg & Newman, P.C.	John S. Mairo, Esq.	100 Southgate Parkway	P.O. Box 1997	Morristown	NJ	07960		973-538-4006	973-538-5146	jsmairo@pbnlaw.com	Counsel to Neuman Aluminum Automotive, Inc. and Neuman Aluminum Impact Extrusion, Inc.
Pryor & Mandelup, LLP	A. Scott Mandelup, Kenneth A. Reynolds	675 Old Country Road		Westbury	NY	11590		516-997-0999	516-333-7333	asm@pryormandelup.com	Counsel for National Molding Corporation; Security Plastics Division/NMC LLC
QAD, Inc.	Jason Pickering, Esq.	10,000 Midlantic Drive		Mt. Laurel	NJ	08054		856-840-2489	856-840-2740	jp@qad.com	Counsel to QAD, Inc.
Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LLC	Andrew Herenstein	375 Park Avenue, 14th Floor		New York	NY	10152		212-418-1742	866-741-2505	andrew.herenstein@quadranglegroup.com	Counsel to Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LLC
Quadrangle Group LLC	Patrick Bartels	375 Park Avenue, 14th Floor		New York	NY	10152		212-418-1748	866-552-2052	patrick.bartels@quadranglegroup.com	Counsel to Quadrangle Group LLC
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	John A. Harris	Renaissance One	Two North Central Avenue	Phoenix	AZ	85004-2391		602-229-5200	602-229-5690	jharris@quarles.com	Counsel for Semiconductor Components Industries, Inc.
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	Kasey C. Nye	One South Church Street		Tucson	AZ	85701		520-770-8717	520-770-2203	knye@quarles.com	Counsel for Offshore International, Inc.; Maquilas Teta Kawi, S.A. de C.V.; On Semiconductor Corporation
Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	Scott R. Goldberg	Renaissance One	Two North Central Avenue	Phoenix	AZ	85004-2391		602-229-5200	602-229-5690	sgoldber@quarles.com	Counsel for Semiconductor Components Industries, Inc.
Reed Smith	Elena Lazarou	599 Lexington Avenue	29th Street	New York	NY	10022		212-521-5400	212-521-5450	elazarou@reedsmith.com	Counsel for General Electric Capital Corporation, Strategic Asset Finance.
Republic Engineered Products, Inc.	Joseph Lapinsky	3770 Embassy Parkway		Akron	OH	44333		330-670-3004	330-670-3020	jlapinsky@republicengineered.com	Counsel to Republic Engineered Products, Inc.
Riddell Williams P.S.	Joseph E. Shickich, Jr.	1001 4th Ave.	Suite 4500	Seattle	WA	98154-1195		206-624-3600	206-389-1708	jshickich@riddellwilliams.com	Counsel for Microsoft Corporation; Microsoft Licensing, GP

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Riemer & Braunstein LLP	Mark S. Scott	Three Center Plaza		Boston	MA	02108		617-523-9000	617-880-3456	msscotti@riemerlaw.com	Counsel for ICX Corporation
Robinson, McFadden & Moore, P.C.	Annemarie B. Mathews	P.O. Box 944		Columbia	SC	29202		803-779-8900	803-771-9411	amathews@robinsonlaw.com	Counsel for Blue Cross Blue Shield of South Carolina
Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley	Christopher Norgaard	515 South Flower Street	Suite 1100	Los Angeles	CA	90071		213-312-2000	213-312-2001	cnorgaard@ropers.com	Counsel for Brembo S.p.A.; Bibielle S.p.A.; AP Racing
Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.	Charles E. Boulbol, P.C.	26 Broadway, 17th Floor		New York	NY	10004		212-825-9457	212-825-9414	rtrack@msn.com	Counsel to Russell Reynolds Associates, Inc.
Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd	Charles S. Schulman, Arlene N. Gelman	10 South Wacker Drive	40th Floor	Chicago	IL	60606		312-207-1000	312-207-6400	cschulman@sachnoff.com agelman@sachnoff.com	Counsel for Infineon Technologies North America Corporation
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Christopher R. Belmonte	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	212-818-9606	cbelmonte@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Satterlee Stephens Burke & Burke LLP	Pamela A. Bosswick	230 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10169		212-818-9200	212-818-9606	pbosswick@ssbb.com	Counsel to Moody's Investors Service
Schiff Hardin LLP	Michael Yetnikoff	623 Fifth Avenue	28th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-753-5000	212-753-5044	myetnikoff@schiffhardin.com	Counsel for Means Industries
Schiff Hardin LLP	William I. Kohn	6600 Sears Tower		Chicago	IL	60066		312-258-5500	312-258-5600	wkohn@schiffhardin.com	Counsel for Means Industries
Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP	Michael Yarnoff	280 King of Prussia Road		Radnor	PA	19087		610-667-7056	610-667-7706	myarnoff@sbclasslaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP	Sean M. Handler	280 King of Prussia Road		Radnor	PA	19087		610-667-7706	610-667-7056	shandler@sbclasslaw.com	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenforde ABP
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	James T. Bentley	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-756-2273	212-593-5955	james.bentley@srz.com	Counsel for Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	Michael L. Cook	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-765-2000	212-595-5955	michael.cook@srz.com	Counsel for Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America; D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Paul M. Baisier, Esq.	1545 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 700	Atlanta	GA	30309-2401		404-885-1500	404-892-7056	pbaisier@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Robert W. Dremluk, Esq.	1270 Avenue of the Americas	Suite 2500	New York	NY	10020-1801		212-218-5500	212-218-5526	rdremluk@seyfarth.com	Counsel to Murata Electronics North America, Inc.; Fujikura America, Inc.
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	William J. Hanlon	World Trade Center East	Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300	Boston	MA	02210		617-946-4800	617-946-4801	whanlon@seyfarth.com	Counsel for le Belier/LBQ Foundry S.A. de C.V.
Sheldon S. Toll PLLC	Sheldon S. Toll	2000 Town Center	Suite 2550	Southfield	MI	48075		248-358-2460	248-358-2740	lawtoll@comcast.net	Counsel for Milwaukee Investment Company
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibaux	5353 Essen Lane	Suite 650	Baton Rouge	LA	70809		225-757-2185	225-757-7674	rthibaux@shergarner.com	Counsel for Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Sher, Garner, Cahill, Richter, Klein & Hilbert, LLC	Robert P. Thibaux	909 Poydras Street	28th Floor	New Orleans	LA	70112-1033		504-299-2100	504-299-2300	rthibaux@shergarner.com	Counsel for Gulf Coast Bank & Trust Company
Shipman & Goodwin LLP	Jennifer L. Adamy	One Constitution Plaza		Hartford	CT	06103-1919		860-251-5811	860-251-5218	bankruptcy@goodwin.com	Counsel to Fortune Plastics Company of Illinois, Inc.; Universal Metal Hose Co.
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Andrew H. Sherman	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	212-643-6500	asherman@sillscummis.com	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Sills, Cummis Epstein & Gross, P.C.	Jack M. Zackin	30 Rockefeller Plaza		New York	NY	10112		212-643-7000	212-643-6500	jzackin@sillscummis.com	Counsel for Hewlett-Packard Financial Services Company
Silver Point Capital, L.P.	Chaim J. Fortgang	Two Greenwich Plaza	1st Floor	Greenwich	CT	06830		203-542-4216	203-542-4100	cfortgang@silverpointcapital.com	Counsel for Silver Point Capital, L.P.
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP	Kenneth S. Ziman, Esq.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-455-2000	212-455-2502	cfox@stblaw.com	Counsel to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP	William T. Russell, Jr., Esq.	425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017		212-455-2000	212-455-2502	cfox@stblaw.com	Counsel to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP	Barbara Ellis-Monro	1230 Peachtree Street, N.E.	Suite 3100	Atlanta	GA	30309		404-815-3500	404-815-3509	bellis-monro@sgrlaw.com	Counsel for Southwire Company
Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP	Kathleen M. Miller	800 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor	P.O. Box 410	Wilmington	DE	19899		302-652-8400	302-652-8405	kmiller@skfdelaware.com	Counsel for Airgas, Inc.
Sony Electronics Inc.	Lloyd B. Sarakin - Chief Counsel, Finance and Credit	1 Sony Drive	MD #1 E-4	Park Ridge	NJ	07656		201-930-7483		lloyd.sarakin@am.sony.com	Counsel to Sony Electronics, Inc.
Sotiroff & Abramczyk, P.C.	Robert M. Goldi	30400 Telegraph Road	Suite 444	Bingham Farms	MI	48025		248-642-6000	248-642-9001	rgoldi@sotablaw.com	Counsel for Michigan Heritage Bank; MHB Leasing, Inc.
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	Eric Marcks	One Maritime Plaza	Suite 300	San Francisco	CA	94111-3492			415-393-9887	emarcks@ssd.com	Counsel for Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And Furukawa Electric North America, APD Inc.
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.	Penn Ayers Butler	600 Hansen Way		Palo Alto	CA	94304		650-856-6500	650-843-8777	pabutler@ssd.com	Counsel for Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd. And Furukawa Electric North America, APD Inc.
Steel Technologies, Inc.	John M. Baumann	15415 Shelbyville Road		Louisville	KY	40245		502-245-0322	502-245-0542	jbaumann@steeltechnologies.com	Counsel for Steel Technologies, Inc.
Stein, Rudser, Cohen & Magid LLP	Robert F. Kidd	825 Washington Street	Suite 200	Oakland	CA	94607		510-287-2365	510-987-8333	rkidd@srcm-law.com	Counsel for Excel Global Logistics, Inc.

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Steinberg Shapiro & Clark	Mark H. Shapiro	24901 Northwestern Highway	Suite 611	Southfield	MI	48075		248-352-4700	248-352-4488	shapiro@steinbergshapiro.com	Counsel for Bing Metals Group, Inc.; Central Transport International, Inc.; Crown Enterprises, Inc.; Economy Transport, Inc.; Logistics Insight Corp (LINC); Universal Am-Can, Ltd.; Universal Truckload Services, Inc.
Sterns & Weinroth, P.C.	Jeffrey S. Posta	50 West State Street, Suite 1400	PO Box 1298	Trenton	NJ	08607-1298		609-392-2100	609-392-7956	jposta@sternslaw.com	Counsel for Doosan Infracore America Corp.
Stevens & Lee, P.C.	Chester B. Salomon, Esq. Constantine D. Pourakis, Esq.	485 Madison Avenue	20th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-319-8500	212-319-8505	cs@stevenslee.com cp@stevenslee.com	Counsel to Tonolli Canada Ltd.; VJ Technologies, Inc. and V.J. Electronix, Inc.
Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP	Mark A. Shaiken	1201 Walnut Street		Kansas City	MO	64106		816-842-8600	816-691-3495	mshaiken@stinsonmoheck.com	Counsel to Thyssenkrupp Waupaca, Inc. and Thyssenkrupp Stahl Company
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Robert C. Goodrich, Jr.	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	615-782-2371	madison.cashman@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison PLLC	Madison L. Cashman	424 Church Street	Suite 1800	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-5200	615-782-2371	robert.goodrich@stites.com	Counsel to Setech, Inc.
Stites & Harbison, PLLC	W. Robinson Beard, Esq.	400 West Market Street		Louisville	KY	40202		502-681-0448	502-779-8274	wbeard@stites.com	Counsel to WAKO Electronics (USA), Inc. and Ambrake Corporation
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP	Joseph G. Minias	180 Maiden Lane		New York	NY	10038		212-806-5400	212-806-6006	jminias@stroock.com	Counsel for 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP	Kristopher M. Hansen	180 Maiden Lane		New York	NY	10038		212-806-5400	212-806-6006	khansen@stroock.com	Counsel for 975 Opdyke LP; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership; 1401 Troy Associates Limited Partnership c/o Etkin Equities, Inc.; 1401 Troy Associates LP; Brighton Limited Partnership; DPS Information Services, Inc.; Etkin Management Services, Inc. and Etkin Real Properties
Swidler Berlin LLP	Jonathan P. Guy	The Washington Harbour	3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300	Washington	DC	20007		202-424-7500	202-424-7645	jpguy@swidlaw.com	Counsel for Westwood Associates, Inc.; Sanders Lead Co.
Swidler Berlin LLP	Matthew W. Cheney	The Washington Harbour	3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300	Washington	DC	20007		202-424-7500	202-424-7645	mwcheney@swidlaw.com	Counsel for Westwood Associates, Inc.; Sanders Lead Co.
Swidler Berlin LLP	Roger Frankel	The Washington Harbour	3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300	Washington	DC	20007		202-424-7500	202-424-7645	rfrankel@swidlaw.com	Counsel for Sanders Lead Co.
Swidler Berlin LLP	Richard H. Wyron	The Washington Harbour	3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300	Washington	DC	20007		202-424-7737	202-424-7645	rhwyrton@swidlaw.com	Counsel for Westwood Associates, Inc.
Taft, Stettinius & Hollister LLP	Richard L. Ferrell	425 Walnut Street	Suite 1800	Cincinnati	OH	45202-3957		513-381-2838		ferrell@taftlaw.com	Counsel for Wren Industries, Inc.
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Jonathan D. Forstot	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7679	212-912-7751	jforstot@tpw.com	Counsel for TT Electronics, Plc
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP	Louis A. Curcio	Two World Financial Center		New York	NY	10281		212-912-7607	212-912-7751	lcurcio@tpw.com	Counsel for TT Electronics, Plc
The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.	Mr. Tetsuhiro Niizeki	6-1 Marunouchi	2-Chrome, Chiyoda-ku	Tokyo		100-8322	Japan		81-3-3286-3919	niizeki.tetsuhiro@furukawa.co.jp	Legal Department of The Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP	David A. Lowenthal	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-603-2000	212-603-2001	dlowenthal@thelenreid.com	Counsel for American Finance Group, Inc. d/b/a Guaranty Capital Corporation
Thelen Reid & Priest LLP	Daniel A. Lowenthal	875 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-603-2000	212-603-2001	dlowenthal@thelenreid.com	Counsel for Oki Semiconductor Company
Thompson & Knight	Rhett G. Campbell	333 Clay Street	Suite 3300	Houston	TX	77002		713-654-1871	713-654-1871	rhett.campbell@tklaw.com	Counsel for STMicroelectronics, Inc.
Thompson & Knight LLP	John S. Brannon	1700 Pacific Avenue	Suite 300	Dallas	TX	75201		214-969-1505	214-969-1609	john.brannon@tklaw.com	Counsel for Victory Packaging
Thurman & Phillips, P.C.	Ed Phillips, Jr.	8000 IH 10 West	Suite 1000	San Antonio	TX	78230		210-341-2020	210-344-6460	ephillips@thurman-phillips.com	Counsel for Royberg, Inc. d/b/a Precision Mold & Tool and d/b/a Precision Mold and Tool Group
Todd & Levi, LLP	Jill Levi, Esq.	444 Madison Avenue	Suite 1202	New York	NY	10022		212-308-7400		jlevi@todtlevi.com	Counsel to Bank of Lincolnwood
Togut, Segal & Segal LLP	Albert Togut, Esq.	One Penn Plaza	Suite 3335	New York	NY	10119		212-594-5000	212-967-4258	bmcdonough@teamtogut.com	Conflicts counsel to Debtors
Tyler, Cooper & Alcorn, LLP	W. Joe Wilson	City Place	35th Floor	Hartford	CT	06103-3488		860-725-6200	860-278-3802	jwilson@tylercooper.com	Counsel for Barnes Group, Inc.
Underberg & Kessler, LLP	Helen Zamboni	300 Bausch & Lomb Place		Rochester	NY	14604		585-258-2800	585-258-2821	hzamboni@underbergkessler.com	Counsel for McAlpin Industries, Inc.
United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO	David Jury, Esq.	Five Gateway Center	Suite 807	Pittsburgh	PA	15222		412-562-2549	412-562-2429	djury@steelworkers-usw.org	Counsel to United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO
Varnum, Riddering, Schmidt & Howlett LLP	Michael S. McElwee	Bridgewater Place	P.O. Box 353	Grand Rapids	MI	49501-0352		616-336-6827	616-336-7000	msmcelwee@varnumlaw.com	Counsel for Furukawa Electric North America APD
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Robert J. Sidman, Esq.	52 East Gay Street	P.O. Box 1008	Columbus	OH	43216-1008		614-464-6422	614-719-8676	rsidman@vssp.com	

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Tiffany Strelow Cobb	52 East Gay Street		Columbus	OH	43215		614-464-8322	614-719-4663	tscoobb@vssp.com	Counsel for America Online, Inc. and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Emil A. Kleinhaus	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	212-403-2000	EAKleinhaus@wlrk.com	Counsel for Capital Research and Management Company
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz	Richard G. Mason	51 West 52nd Street		New York	NY	10019-6150		212-403-1000	212-403-2000	RGMason@wlrk.com	Counsel for Capital Research and Management Company
Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC	David E. Lemke, Esq.	511 Union Street	Suite 2700	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-6380	615-244-6804	david.lemke@wallerlaw.com	Counsel to Nissan North America, Inc.
Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC	Robert J. Welhoelter, Esq.	511 Union Street	Suite 2700	Nashville	TN	37219		615-244-6380	615-244-6804	robert.welhoelter@wallerlaw.com	Counsel to Nissan North America, Inc.
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Gordon J. Toering	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503		616-752-2185	616-222-2185	gtoering@wnj.com	Counsel for Robert Bosch Corporation
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Michael G. Cruse	2000 Town Center	Suite 2700	Southfield	MI	48075		248-784-5131	248-603-9631	mcruse@wnj.com	Counsel to Compuware Corporation
Warner Stevens, L.L.P.	Michael D. Warner	301 Commerce Street	Suite 1700	Fort Worth	TX	76102		817-810-5250	817-810-5255	bankruptcy@warnerstevens.com	Counsel for Electronic Data Systems Corp. and EDS Information Services, L.L.C.
Weiland, Golden, Smiley, Wang Ekvall & Strok, LLP	Lei Lei Wang Ekvall	650 Town Center Drive	Suite 950	Costa Mesa	CA	92626		714-966-1000	714-966-1002	lekvall@wglp.com	Counsel for Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.
Weinstein, Eisen & Weiss LLP	Aram Ordubegian	1925 Century Park East	#1150	Los Angeles	CA	90067		310-203-9393	310-203-8110	aordubegian@weineisen.com	Counsel for Orbotech, Inc.
Weltman, Weinberg & Reis Co., L.P.A.	Geoffrey J. Peters	175 South Third Street	Suite 900	Columbus	OH	43215		614-857-4326	614-222-2193	gpeters@weltman.com	Counsel to Seven Seventeen Credit Union
White & Case LLP	Margarita Mesones-Mori	Wachovia Financial Center	200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 4900	Miami	FL	33131		305-371-2700	305-358-5744	mmesonesmori@whitecase.com	Counsel for Appaloosa Management, LP
Whyte, Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.	Bruce G. Arnold	555 East Wells Street	Suite 1900	Milwaukee	WI	53202-4894		414-273-2100	414-223-5000	barnold@whdlaw.com	Counsel for Schunk Graphite Technology
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.	Berry D. Spears	401 Congress Avenue	Suite 2100	Austin	TX	78701		512-370-2800	512-370-2850	bspears@winstead.com	Counsel for National Instruments Corporation
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C.	R. Michael Farquhar	5400 Renaissance Tower	1201 Elm Street	Dallas	TX	75270		214-745-5400	214-745-5390	rfarquhar@winstead.com	Counsel for National Instruments Corporation
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Marc. J. Winthrop	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	949-720-4111	mwinthrop@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel for Metal Surfaces, Inc.
Winthrop Couchot Professional Corporation	Sean A. O'Keefe	660 Newport Center Drive	4th Floor	Newport Beach	CA	92660		949-720-4100	949-720-4111	sokeefe@winthropcouchot.com	Counsel for Metal Surfaces, Inc.
WL Ross & Co., LLC	Oscar Iglesias	600 Lexington Avenue	19th Floor	New York	NY	10022		212-826-1100	212-317-4893	oiglesias@wlross.com	Counsel for WL Ross & Co., LLC
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC	Lillian H. Pinto	300 North Greene Street	Suite 1900	Greensboro	NC	27402		336-574-8058	336-574-4528	lpinto@wcsr.com	Counsel for Armacell
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Peter Janovsky	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	212-753-0396	pjanovsky@zeklaw.com	Counsel for Toyota Tsusho America, Inc.
Zeichner Ellman & Krause LLP	Stuart Krause	575 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10022		212-223-0400	212-753-0396	skrause@zeklaw.com	Counsel for Toyota Tsusho America, Inc.

EXHIBIT C

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	PARTY / FUNCTION
Akebono Corporation (North America)	Alan Swiech	34385 Twelve Mile Road		Farmington Hills	MI	48331	248-489-7406	866-609-0888	Vice President of Administration for Akebono Corporation
Ambrake Corporation	Ronald L. Jones	300 Ring Road		Elizabethtown	KY	42701	270-765-0208	270-234-2395	Representative for Ambrake Corporation
Andrews Kurth LLP	Gogi Malik	1717 Main Street	Suite 3700	Dallas	TX	75201	214-659-4400	214-659-4401	Counsel for ITW Mortgage Investments IV, Inc.
Arnall Golden Gregory LLP	Heath J. Vicente	171 17th Street NW	Suite 2100	Atlanta	GA	30363-1031	404-873-8682	404-873-8683	Counsel to Daishinku (America) Corp. d/b/a KDS America ("Daishinku"), SBC Telecommunications, Inc. (SBC)
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman	Eileen Mc Nerney	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019	212-554-1485	212-554-1444	Counsel for Teachers Retirement System of Oklahoma; Public Employees's Retirement System of Mississippi; Raifeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H and Stichting Pensioenfornds ABP
Cage Williams & Abelman, P.C.	Steven E. Abelman	1433 Seventeenth Street		Denver	CO	80202	303-295-0202		Counsel for United Power, Inc.
Calinoff & Katz, LLP	Dorothy H. Marinis-Riggio	140 East 45th Street	17th Floor	New York	NY	10017	212-826-8800	212-644-5123	Counsel for Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminim Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Clark Hill PLC	Joel D. Applebaum	500 Woodward Avenue	Suite 3500	Detroit	MI	48226-3435	313-965-8300	313-965-8252	Counsel for BorgWarner Turbo Systems Inc.; Metaldyne Company, LLC
Colbert & Winstead, P.C.	Amy Wood Malone	1812 Broadway		Nashville	TN	37203	615-321-0555	615-321-9555	Counsel for Averitt Express, Inc.
Cornell University	Nancy H. Pagliaro	Office of University Counsel	300 CCC Building, Garden Avenue	Ithaca	NY	14853-2601	607-255-5124	607-254-3556	Paralegal/Counsel for Cornell University
Ettelman & Hochheiser, P.C.	Gary Ettelman	c/o Premium Cadillac	77 Main Street	New Rochelle	NY	10801	516-227-6300	516-227-6307	Counsel for Jon Ballin
Frank D. Jones		158 New York Circle Cr.		Whitesburg	KY	41858-9122			
HAL/ERC-Legal	Tillie Lim, Esq.	50 Prospect Avenue		Tarrytown	NY	10591			Counsel to Hitachi Automotive Products (USA), Inc.
Harris D. Leinwand	Harris D. Leinwand	350 Fifth Avenue	Suite 2418	New York	NY	10118	212-725-7338	212-244-6219	Counsel for Baker Hughes Incorporated; Baker Petrolite Corporation
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn, LLP	E. Todd Sable	2290 First National Building	660 Woodward Avenue	Detroit	MI	48226	313-465-7548	313-465-7549	Counsel for Valeo Climate Control Corp.; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Motors and Actuators Division; Valeo Electrical Systems, Inc. - Wipers Division; Valeo Switches & Detection System, Inc.
Kutchin & Rufo, P.C.	Kerry R. Northrup	155 Federal Street	17th Floor	Boston	MA	02110-1727	617-542-3000	617-542-3001	Counsel for Parlex Corporation
Lord, Bissel & Brook	Timothy W. Brink	115 South LaSalle Street		Chicago	IL	60603	312-443-1832	312-443-896-6432	Counsel for Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc.
Lord, Bissel & Brook	Timothy S. McFadden	115 South LaSalle Street		Chicago	IL	60603	312-443-0370	312-896-6394	Counsel for Methode Electronics, Inc.
Lord, Bissel & Brook LLP	Kevin J. Walsh Rocco N. Covino	885 Third Avenue	26th Floor	New York	NY	10022-4802	212-947-8304 212-947-8340	212-947-1202	Counsel to Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc. and Methode Electronics, Inc.
Lyden, Liebenenthal & Chappell, Ltd.	Erik G. Chappell	5565 Airport Highway	Suite 101	Toledo	OH	43615	419-867-8900	419-867-8909	Counsel for Metro Fibres, Inc.
Madison Capital Management	Joe Landen	6143 South Willow Drive	Suite 200	Greenwood Village	CO	80111	303-957-4254	303-957-2098	Representative for Madison Capital Management

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	PARTY / FUNCTION
Masuda Funai Eifert & Mitchell, Ltd.	Gary D. Santella	203 North LaSalle Street	Suite 2500	Chicago	IL	60601-1262	312-245-7500	312-245-7467	Counsel for NDK America, Inc./NDK Crystal, Inc.; Foster Electric USA, Inc.; JST Corporation; Nichicon (America) Corporation; Taiho Corporation of America; American Aikoku Alpha, Inc.; Sagami America, Ltd.; SL America, Inc./SL Tennessee, LLC; Hosiden America Corporation and Samtech Corporation
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP	Raniero D'Aversa, Jr.	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019	212-262-1910	212-506-2500	Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP	Jeffrey G. Tougas	1675 Broadway		New York	NY	10019	212-262-1910	212-506-2500	Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.
McCarter & English, LLP	David J. Adler, Jr. Esq.	245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor		New York	NY	10167	212-609-6800	212-609-6921	Counsel to Ward Products, LLC
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	Robert H. Rosenbaum	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385	301-699-5800		Counsel for Prince George County, Maryland
Meyers, Rodbell & Rosenbaum, P.A.	M. Evan Meyers	Berkshire Building	6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 400	Riverdale Park	MD	20737-1385	301-699-5800		Counsel for Prince George County, Maryland
Miami-Dade County, FL	April Burch	140 West Flagler Street	Suite 1403	Miami	FL	33130	305-375-5314	305-375-1142	Paralegal Collection Specialist for Miami-Dade County
Michael Cox		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202	313-456-0140		Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Dennis J. Raternink	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717	517-373-1820	517-373-2129	Assistant Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency
Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth, Worker's Compensation Agency	Michael Cox	PO Box 30736		Lansing	MI	48909-7717	517-373-1820	517-373-2129	Attorney General for Worker's Compensation Agency
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Thomas D. Renda	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202	410-385-3418	410-385-3700	Counsel for Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Miles & Stockbridge, P.C.	Kerry Hopkins	10 Light Street		Baltimore	MD	21202	410-385-3418	410-385-3700	Counsel for Computer Patent Annuities Limited Partnership, Hydro Aluminum North America, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Adrian, Inc., Hydro Aluminum Precision Tubing NA, LLC, Hydro Aluminum Ellay Enfield Limited, Hydro Aluminum Rockledge, Inc., Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc., Emhart Technologies LLL and Adell Plastics, Inc.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	William C. Heuer, Esq.	101 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10178-0060	212-309-6000	212-309-6001	Counsel to Sumitomo Corporation
Nantz, Litowich, Smith, Girard & Hamilton, P.C.	Sandra S. Hamilton	2025 East Beltline, S.E.	Suite 600	Grand Rapids	MI	49546	616-977-0077	616-977-0529	Counsel for Lankfer Diversified Industries, Inc.
Noma Company and General Chemical Performance Products LLC	James Imbriaco	90 East Halsey Road		Parsippany	NJ	07054	973-884-6952	973-515-3244	
Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee	Camille Hope	P.O. Box 954		Macon	GA	31202	478-742-8706	478-746-4488	Office of the Chapter 13 Trustee
Peggy Housner		Cadillac Place	3030 W. Grand Blvd., Suite 10-200	Detroit	MI	48202	313-456-0140		Assistant Attorney General for State of Michigan, Department of Treasury

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP	PHONE	FAX	PARTY / FUNCTION
Pierce Atwood LLP	Keith J. Cunningham	One Monument Square		Portland	ME	04101	207-791-1100	207-791-1350	Counsel for FCI Canada, Inc.; FCI Electronics Mexido, S. de R.L. de C.V.; FCI USA, Inc.; FCI Brasil, Ltda; FCI Automotive Deutschland GmbH; FCI Italia S. p.A.
Professional Technologies Services	John V. Gorman	P.O. Box #304		Frankenmuth	MI	48734	989-385-3230	989-754-7690	Corporate Secretary for Professional Technologies Services
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Howard Borin	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304	248-540-3340		Counsel for Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Max Newman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304	248-540-3340		Counsel for Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Ryan Heilman	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304	248-540-3340		Counsel for Dott Industries, Inc.
Schafer and Weiner PLLC	Daniel Weiner	40950 Woodward Ave.	Suite 100	Bloomfield Hills	MI	48304	248-540-3340		Counsel for Dott Industries, Inc.
Schulte Roth & Sabel LLP	Carol Weiner Levy	919 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-756-2000	212-595-5955	Counsel for D.C. Capital Partners, L.P.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	D. Farrington Yates	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020	212-768-6700	212-768-6800	Counsel for Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Jo Christine Reed	1221 Avenue of the Americas	24th Floor	New York	NY	10020	212-768-6700	212-768-6800	Counsel for Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.
Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP	Robert E. Richards	8000 Sears Tower	233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago	IL	60606	312-876-8000	312-876-7934	Counsel for Molex, Inc. and INA USA, Inc.
The Timpken Corporation BIC - 08	Robert Morris	1835 Dueber Ave. SW	PO Box 6927	Canton	OH	44706			Representative for Timken Corporation
Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Stephen B. Grow	900 Fifth Third Center	111 Lyon Street, N.W.	Grand Rapids	MI	49503	616-752-2158		Counsel for Behr Industries Corp.
White & Case LLP	John K. Cunningham	1155 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10036-2787	212-819-8200		Counsel for Appaloosa Management, LP

EXHIBIT D

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,)	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
)	
Debtors.)	
)	Jointly Administered
)	

**ORDER AUTHORIZING EMPLOYMENT AND
RETENTION OF STEVEN HALL & PARTNERS AS COMPENSATION AND
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT ADVISOR FOR
THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS**

This matter coming on to be heard on the application (the “Application”)¹ for an order authorizing and approving the Employment and Retention of Steven Hall & Partners, LLC (“Steven Hall”) as Compensation and Employment Agreement Advisor for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”) pursuant to sections 328(a) and 1103(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330 (the “Bankruptcy Code”), nunc pro tunc to November 7, 2005; the Court having reviewed the Application and the affidavit of Pearl Meyer (the “Meyer Affidavit”) and having heard the statements of counsel in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing thereon (the “Hearing”); the Court finding that (a) the Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, (b) this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2), (c) said firm does not hold or represent any interest materially adverse to the Debtors, any creditors of the Debtors, the United States Trustee for this District or any person employed in the United States Trustee’s office, or any other party in interest with respect to the matters upon which said firm is to be engaged, (d) said firm is a “disinterested

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Application.

person” and has no adverse interest in connection with these cases as contemplated by the Bankruptcy Code, and (e) such retention is in the best interests of the Committee; the Court finding that notice of the Application given by the Committee was sufficient under the circumstances; and the Court being fully advised in the premises and having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Application, the Meyer Affidavit and at the Hearing on the Application establish just cause for the relief herein granted;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The employment and retention of Steven Hall, as compensation and employment agreement advisor for the Committee shall be, and the same hereby is, approved pursuant to the terms set forth in the Application, effective as of November 7, 2005, *provided*, however, that the indemnity provisions set forth in the Application have been voluntarily removed by Steven Hall, and are, therefore, not approved hereby.

2. The compensation to be paid to Steven Hall for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses incurred on behalf of the Committee shall be fixed by the Court upon appropriate application therefor in accordance with sections 330 and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Rules, and any applicable orders or procedures fixed by this Court.

3. The requirement under Local Rule 9013-1(b) for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Application.

Dated: New York, New York
January 10, 2006

/s/ Robert D. Drain
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT E

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
:
In re : Chapter 11
:
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
:
-----X

ORDER PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P. 7026, 7030 AND 9016 (a) GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART LEAD PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITION TESTIMONY AND THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(A), 328(A) AND 1107(B) AUTHORIZING EMPLOYMENT AND RETENTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS INDEPENDENT AUDITORS AND ACCOUNTANTS TO DEBTORS, EFFECTIVE NUNC PRO TUNC TO OCTOBER 8, 2005 AND OBJECTIONS FILED THERETO, AND (b) GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART THE DEBTORS' MOTION TO QUASH TRIAL SUBPOENAS ISSUED TO MEMBERS OF DEBTORS' AUDIT COMMITTEE AND FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER RELATED THERETO AND FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER TO LIMIT THE SCOPE OF THE DEPOSITION OF ROBERT DELLINGER TO ONLY THOSE MATTERS PERTAINING DIRECTLY TO THE DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING EMPLOYMENT AND RETENTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Upon the motion to compel deposition testimony and the production of documents in connection with the Debtors' Motion for Order under 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(a), 328(a) and 1107(b) Authorizing Employment and Retention of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Independent Auditors and Accountants to Debtors, effective nunc pro tunc to October 8, 2005 and Objections Filed Thereto, dated December 23, 2005(the "Motion to Compel"), filed by the Lead Plaintiffs in the action styled *In re Delphi Securities Litigation*, Mast. File No. 1:05-CV-2637 (NRB) (S.D.N.Y.), pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a) and Rules 7034, 7037 and 9014(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and the Debtors' (I) Motion (A) to Quash Trial Subpoenas Issued to Members of Debtors' Audit Committee and for Protective

Order and (B) for a Protective Order to Limit the Scope of the Deposition of Robert Dellinger to Only Those Matters Pertaining Directly to the Debtors' Motion for Order Authorizing Employment and Retention of Deloitte & Touche LLP And (II) Objection to Lead Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Deposition Testimony and the Production of Documents in connection with the Debtors' Motion for order under 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(a), 328(a), and 1107(b) Authorizing Employment and Retention of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Independent Auditors and Accountants to Debtors, effective nunc pro tunc to October 8, 2005 and Objections filed thereto, dated December 29, 2005 (the "Motion to Quash"), filed by Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c), 30(d)(4) and 45, made applicable in these bankruptcy proceedings through Bankruptcy Rules 7026, 7030 and 9016, respectively (the Motion to Compel and the Motion to Quash are, collectively, the "Motions"); and upon the record of the hearing held on the Motions; and it appearing that proper and adequate notice of the Motion has been given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, as set forth in the Court's bench ruling at the close of the January 5, 2006 hearing on the Motions, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Motion to Compel is hereby DENIED, except that the Debtors shall produce for deposition one member of Delphi's Audit Committee with knowledge of information relating to the scope of the deposition, as described in the next sentence. The scope of the deposition shall be limited to the decision to replace Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Debtors' auditors for their financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006 and thereafter and

the decision to continue to retain Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Debtors' auditors for their financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005. The deposition shall not inquire into the details of the Audit Committee's investigation of Deloitte & Touche LLP, if any, or the details of Deloitte & Touche LLP's past history with the Debtors. All rights to object on grounds of privilege or otherwise are preserved. This Order is without prejudice to the Lead Plaintiffs' and Debtors' rights, to raise in a telephonic conference with the Court, during the deposition of the Audit Committee member, any disputes regarding instructions not to answer on the basis of privilege, and the Debtors' right to oppose such arguments.

2. Except as provided in paragraph 1 above, the Debtors' Motion to Quash the Subpoenas issued to Robert H. Brust, Oscar De Paula Bernardes Neto, John D. Opie and John Walker, members of Delphi's Audit Committee, is hereby GRANTED and a PROTECTIVE ORDER is hereby ISSUED to prevent the Lead Plaintiffs from obtaining testimony or information related thereto that they otherwise are barred from obtaining, except as provided in paragraph 1 above.

3. The Motion to Compel is DENIED, a protective order is hereby ISSUED from this Court disallowing the Lead Plaintiffs to engage in any further deposition of Mr. Dellinger; provided, that the Lead Plaintiffs may, following depositions referred to in paragraph 1 above, request a telephone conference with the Court to argue that, as a result the information elicited at the such deposition Mr. Dellinger shall be required to respond to questions that he declined to answer in his deposition on grounds of privilege, without prejudice to the Debtors' rights to oppose such argument.

4. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this Order.

5. The requirement under Local Rule 9013-1(b) for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Motions.

Dated: New York, New York
January 10, 2006

/s/ Robert D. Drain

HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT F

Hearing Date: January 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	X	

MOTION UNDER FED. R. BANKR. P. 9016 AND FED. R. CIV. P. 45
TO QUASH SUBPOENAS SERVED BY LEAD PLAINTIFFS ON JOHN SHEEHAN,
LAURA MARION, DAN RENICK, AND JOHN ROTKO

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby submit this Motion Under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9016 And Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 To Quash Subpoenas Served By Lead Plaintiffs On John Sheehan, Laura Marion, Dan Renick, and John Rotko (the "Motion"). In further support of this Motion, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

Background

1. On October 13, 2005, the Debtors filed a Motion For Order Under §§ 105 And 363 Authorizing The Debtors To Implement A Key Employee Compensation Program (Docket No. 213) (the "KECP Motion"). On November 23, 2005, the Teachers' Retirement System of Oklahoma, the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, Raiffeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H, and Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP filed an objection to the KECP Motion (Docket No. 1161) (the "KECP Objection"). These entities are the lead plaintiffs in a putative class action against Delphi and other defendants under the federal securities laws (the "Securities Litigation"), and are therefore referred to here as "Lead Plaintiffs." The Securities Litigation was recently consolidated with several other actions and transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. All discovery in the Securities Litigation has been stayed by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "PSLRA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77z-1(b) (establishing discovery stay in actions under the Securities Act of 1933), 78u-4(b)(3)(B) (Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Moreover, all aspects of the Securities Litigation, including discovery, have been stayed with respect to Delphi by operation of the automatic stay under section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 362(a).

2. On December 8, 2005, the Debtors received from Lead Plaintiffs a set of discovery requests that purported to seek information related to the KECP Motion. (Matz Aff.

Ex. 1.) As the Court is aware from the Debtors' Objections To Lead Plaintiffs' Motion To Compel Discovery Related To Debtors' Motion For Order Under §§ 105 And 363 Authorizing Debtors To Implement Key Employee Compensation Program (Docket No. 1691), and the oral presentations on this topic at the omnibus hearing on January 5, 2006, many of Lead Plaintiffs' discovery requests were not directed toward the KECP Motion, but instead sought information about specific allegations in Lead Plaintiffs' Consolidated Class Action Complaint in the Securities Litigation, including information about allegedly improper transactions and accounting practices that stretch back to 1999.

3. In addition to their written discovery requests, Lead Plaintiffs' December 8, 2005 letter also stated that Lead Plaintiffs intended to take the deposition of John Sheehan, Delphi's Vice President, Chief Restructuring Officer, Chief Accounting Officer, and Controller, before the hearing on the KECP Motion. (Matz Aff. Ex. 1 at 7.) In a subsequent letter dated December 12, 2005, Lead Plaintiffs identified three other Delphi employees as potential deponents—Laura Marion, Delphi's Assistant Controller; Dan Renick, a Director of Plant Production Control; and John Rotko, a Manager of Indirect Materials (collectively with Mr. Sheehan, the "Witnesses"). (Id. Ex. 2 at 2.) On December 23, 2005, Lead Plaintiffs' counsel issued subpoenas to the Witnesses that command them to appear at the hearing on the KECP Motion scheduled for January 27, 2006, at 10:00 a.m. (the "Hearing Subpoenas"). (Id. Exs. 3–6.) The Debtors' counsel agreed to accept service of those subpoenas, without waiving any other objections to them.

4. With this Motion, the Debtors respectfully ask the Court to quash the Hearing Subpoenas pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(3)(A)(iv), made applicable here by Fed. R.

Bankr. P. 9016, because the Hearing Subpoenas subject the Witnesses to an undue burden and are procedurally defective under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(1)(D) and (b)(1).

Argument

I.

THE HEARING SUBPOENAS SHOULD BE QUASHED BECAUSE THEY SUBJECT THE
WITNESSES TO AN UNDUE BURDEN

5. Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(iv) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that the Court "shall" quash or modify a subpoena if it "subjects a person to undue burden." The advisory committee's notes to Rule 45 provide an illustration of this concept, explaining that it is "unduly burdensome to compel an adversary to attend a trial as a witness if the adversary is known to have no personal knowledge of matters in dispute, especially so if the adversary would be required to incur substantial travel burdens." Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 advisory committee's note to 1991 amendment; accord In re County of Orange, 208 B.R. 117, 120 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1997) (quoting the advisory committee's note). To underscore the fact that there must be a logical connection between the information sought by a subpoena and the matters at issue, courts often cite "relevance" as one of the key factors in determining whether a subpoena imposes an "undue burden" under Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(iv). See, e.g., Nova Biomedical Corp. v. i-STAT Corp., 182 F.R.D. 419, 422–23 (S.D.N.Y. 1998); Concord Boat Corp. v. Brunswick Corp., 169 F.R.D. 44, 49 (S.D.N.Y. 1996).

6. The Hearing Subpoenas issued by Lead Plaintiffs subject the Witnesses to an undue burden under this standard because Lead Plaintiffs intend to question the Witnesses about issues that are wholly irrelevant to the matters in dispute. The scope of the January 27 hearing will be limited to the narrow question whether the Debtors exercised reasonable business judgment in adopting one part of the KECP—namely, the revised Annual Incentive Plan covering the nine-month period from October 2005 through June 2006. Rather than address that

question, Lead Plaintiffs plan to use the hearing as a chance to stage their Securities Litigation sideshow, asking the Witnesses about specific instances of alleged accounting improprieties and fraud stretching all the way back to 1999.

7. Indeed, Lead Plaintiffs' counsel has admitted under penalty of perjury that the purpose of taking the Witnesses' depositions—and, presumably, of calling them as witnesses at the January 27 hearing—is to probe their knowledge of Lead Plaintiffs' allegations in the Securities Litigation. In a declaration submitted in support of Lead Plaintiffs' Motion To Compel Discovery Related To Debtors' Motion For Order Under §§ 105 And 363 Authorizing Debtors To Implement A Key Employee Compensation Program (the "Motion to Compel") (Docket No. 1619), Lead Plaintiffs' counsel explained his theory that the Witnesses "are relevant to the KECF Motion" because they "are knowledgeable about Delphi's accounting improprieties and inventory manipulations." (Matz Aff. Ex. 7 ¶ 26.)

8. Mr. Sheehan, Lead Plaintiffs claim, will be asked about "accounting improprieties," "various fraudulent accounting practices," and "particular transactions" that are outlined in paragraphs 31, 195, 198, and 605 of Lead Plaintiffs' Consolidated Class Action Complaint in the Securities Litigation (the "Complaint"). (Matz Aff. Ex. 7 ¶ 27.) Similarly, Lead Plaintiffs plan to ask Ms. Marion for testimony on "accounting improprieties," including a "\$200 million financing transaction with Bank One" that was executed more than five years ago, in fiscal year 2000, and is discussed in detail in paragraphs 152–54 of the Complaint. (Matz Aff. Ex. 7 ¶ 28.) With respect to Mr. Renick, Lead Plaintiffs feel that the January 27 hearing is an appropriate forum for deepening their investigation of Delphi's "schemes to understate inventory" and other "accounting improprieties" alleged in paragraphs 193–94 of the Complaint. (Matz Aff. Ex. 7 ¶ 29.) Finally, Lead Plaintiffs wish to question Mr. Rotko, a non-executive

Delphi employee who is not covered by any portion of the KECP, about "Delphi's schemes to understate its inventory," its "improper financing transactions with Setech," and the "accounting methods involved in understating inventory at Delphi's facilities in Mexico," as described in paragraphs 136–38 of the Complaint. (Matz Aff. Ex. 7 ¶ 30.)

9. Lead Plaintiffs could not be more transparent. At the omnibus hearing, the Court informed the parties that it was inclined to deny Lead Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel to the extent that Lead Plaintiffs sought discovery related to the specific allegations of impropriety in their Complaint in the Securities Litigation.¹ Lead Plaintiffs have nevertheless persisted in their demand to call the Witnesses to testify about these same matters at the hearing, making it clear that Lead Plaintiffs are focused on steering the January 27 hearing away from the Annual Incentive Plan and toward their securities claims. The Court also indicated at the omnibus hearing that it would not permit Lead Plaintiffs to turn the hearing into a minitrial on their securities claims, stating, "I agree with you [the Debtors' counsel] that through the back door of an annual incentive plan motion, to try the whole securities litigation is just wrong. It shouldn't be done that way" (Jan. 5, 2006, Hr'g Tr. at 193–94.) Yet that is just how Lead Plaintiffs want it done.

10. Lead Plaintiffs want it done their way because their overarching agenda is to try to use these bankruptcy cases to obtain discovery in their Securities Litigation. Such discovery is impermissible, however, because of the stays imposed by the PSLRA and the

¹ The Court also stated that it was "inclined to severely limit the discovery" to the identities of the employees covered by the KECP, the identities of certain employees who have left the Debtors' employ and their reasons for leaving, and the processes used by the Debtors to ensure that bad actors are not rewarded under the KECP. (Jan. 5, 2006, Hr'g Tr. at 190, 192, 198.) There is no need for live testimony on the first two points because the Debtors have produced or will produce before the hearing documents that address these issues. The third point does not provide any basis for the Hearing Subpoenas either because the Witnesses do not have personal knowledge regarding the design or implementation of these processes.

section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. It is well-settled that it is inappropriate to use a trial subpoena to obtain impermissible discovery. See Dodson v. CBS Broad. Inc., No. 02 Civ. 9270 (KMW), 2005 WL 3177723, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 29, 2005) (explaining that trial subpoenas cannot be used to obtain impermissible discovery and citing cases).

11. In sum, there is no justification for forcing the Witnesses to take time away from their work obligations and travel to New York to testify about alleged accounting improprieties and other specific misdeeds that are outside the narrow scope of the January 27 hearing on the Annual Incentive Plan. Accordingly, the Hearing Subpoenas should be quashed as unduly burdensome under Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(iv).

II.
THE HEARING SUBPOENAS ARE PROCEDURALLY DEFECTIVE

12. The Hearing Subpoenas served by Lead Plaintiffs do not satisfy the formal requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(a)(1). In particular, under Rule 45(a)(1)(D), every subpoena must "set forth the text of subdivisions (c) and (d) of [Rule 45]," which establish the rights and duties of attorneys responsible for serving subpoenas and witnesses subject to subpoenas. "The purpose of the inclusion of these subdivisions in every subpoena is to ensure that the recipient of the subpoena is fully aware of both her own obligations in responding to the subpoena, of the duties of an attorney in properly executing the subpoena, and the options a recipient may exercise in contesting a subpoena." Anderson v. Gov't of V.I., 180 F.R.D. 284, 289–90 (D.V.I. 1998). When a party fails to include the text of subdivisions (c) and (d), as mandated by Rule 45(a)(1)(D), the subpoena should be quashed. See Anderson, 180 F.R.D. at 290 (quashing subpoena where subpoena violated Rule 45(a)(1)(D)). Like the subpoena at issue in Anderson, the Hearing Subpoenas in this case do not set forth the text of subdivisions (c) and (d), (see Matz Aff. Exs. 3–6), and should therefore be quashed.

13. Lead Plaintiffs also disregarded the rules related to fees and mileage in serving the Hearing Subpoenas. As provided in Rule 45(b)(1), "Service of a subpoena upon a person named therein shall be made by delivering a copy thereof to such person and, if the person's attendance is commanded, by tendering to that person the fees for one day's attendance and the mileage allowed by law." *Id.* (emphasis added).² In their treatise on federal procedure, Professors Wright and Miller explain, "Failure to tender the appropriate sums at the time the subpoena is served invalidates the subpoena." 9A Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice & Procedure § 2454, at 25–26 (1995); accord Costomar Shipping Co. v. Kim-Sail, Ltd., No. 95 Civ. 3349 (KTD), 1995 WL 736907, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 12, 1995) ("Where no fee is tendered with the service of a subpoena requiring a witness' attendance, the service is invalid."). When Lead Plaintiffs served the Hearing Subpoenas here, they did not tender any fees to the witnesses under Rule 45(b)(1), even though the subpoenas command the Witnesses' attendance at the hearing on the KECP Motion scheduled for January 27, 2006. The Hearing Subpoenas should be quashed for this reason as well. See Song v. Dreamtouch, Inc., No. 01 Civ. 0386 (AGS), 2001 WL 487413, at *7 (S.D.N.Y. May 8, 2001) (quashing subpoena when "attendance and mileage fees were not provided concurrently with the subpoena").

Notice

14. Notice of this Motion has been provided in accordance with the Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9015 Establishing (I) Omnibus Hearing Dates, (II) Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, And (III) Scheduling An Initial Case Conference In Accordance With Local Bankr. R. 1007-2(e) entered by this Court on October 14, 2005 (Docket No. 245).

² The fees and mileage available to witnesses under this rule are set by 28 U.S.C. § 1821 (2004).

Notice has also been provided to Lead Plaintiffs. In light of the nature of the relief requested, the Debtors submit that no other or further notice is necessary.

Memorandum of Law

15. Because the points and authorities upon which this Motion relies are set forth in the Motion itself, the Debtors respectfully request that the requirement of the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law under Local Rule 9013-1(b) be deemed satisfied.

Conclusion

For the reasons given above, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter an order quashing the Trial Subpoenas and granting such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
January 11, 2006

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ David E. Springer
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Hearing Date: January 13, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05- 44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

NOTICE OF MOTION UNDER FED. R. BANKR. P. 9016 AND FED. R. CIV. P. 45
TO QUASH SUBPOENAS SERVED BY LEAD PLAINTIFFS ON JOHN SHEEHAN,
LAURA MARION, DAN RENICK, AND JOHN ROTKO

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 11, 2006, Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases, filed a motion under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9016 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 to quash subpoenas served by Lead Plaintiffs on John Sheehan, Laura Marion, Dan Renick, and John Rotko (the "Motion").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a hearing to consider approval of the Motion will be held on January 13, 2006, at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) (the "Hearing"), before the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York, 10004.

Dated: New York, New York
January 11, 2006

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ David E. Springer
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
David E. Springer (DS 9331)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----	x	

AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS J. MATZ IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION UNDER FED. R. BANKR. P. 9016 AND FED. R. CIV. P. 45 TO
QUASH SUBPOENAS SERVED BY LEAD PLAINTIFFS ON JOHN SHEEHAN,
LAURA MARION, DAN RENICK, AND JOHN ROTKO

STATE OF NEW YORK)
)
NEW YORK CITY) ss.

Thomas J. Matz, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am an attorney in the New York office of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP ("Skadden"), and I am one of the attorneys representing Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"). I submit this affidavit and the attached exhibits in support of the Motion Under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9016 And Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 To Quash Subpoenas Served By Lead Plaintiffs On John Sheehan, Laura Marion, Dan Renick, And John Rotko (the "Motion").

2. Exhibit 1 to this affidavit is a copy of a letter from Bradley E. Beckworth, counsel for the Teachers' Retirement System of Oklahoma, the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, Raiffeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H, and Stichting Pensioenfond ABP (collectively, "Lead Plaintiffs") to David E. Springer of Skadden dated December 8, 2005.

3. Exhibit 2 to this affidavit is a copy of a letter from John P. Coffey, Lead Plaintiffs' counsel, to Mr. Springer dated December 12, 2005.

4. Exhibits 3–6 to this affidavit are copies of subpoenas issued by Lead Plaintiffs' counsel commanding John Sheehan, Laura Marion, Dan Renick, and John Rotko to appear at the hearing scheduled for January 27, 2006, at 10:00 a.m.

5. Exhibit 7 to this affidavit is a copy of the Declaration of John P. Coffey In Support Of Lead Plaintiffs' Motion To Compel Discovery Related To Debtors' Motion For Order Under §§ 105 And 363 Authorizing Debtors To Implement A Key Employee Compensation Program, which was filed by Lead Plaintiffs as an attachment to their Motion To Compel Discovery Related To Debtors' Motion for Order Under §§ 105 And 363 Authorizing Debtors to Implement A Key Employee Compensation Program (Docket No. 1619).

6. Exhibits 8–10 to this affidavit are copies of the unpublished decisions cited in the Motion: Dodson v. CBS Broad. Inc., No. 02 Civ. 9270 (KMW), 2005 WL 3177723 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 29, 2005), Costomar Shipping Co. v. Kim-Sail, Ltd., No. 95 Civ. 3349 (KTD), 1995 WL 736907 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 12, 1995), and Song v. Dreamtouch, Inc., No. 01 Civ. 0386 (AGS), 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y. May 8, 2001).

/s/ Thomas J. Matz
Thomas J. Matz

Sworn to before me this 11 day
of January, 2006.

/s/ Adriana G. Salazar
Notary Public

Exhibit 1

NIX, PATTERSON, & ROACH, L.L.P.

HAROLD W. NIX
C. CARY PATTERSON
NELSON J. ROACH
EDWARD L. HOHN
JEFFREY J. ANGELOVICH
MICHAEL B. ANGELOVICH
D. NEIL SMITH
BRADLEY E. BECKWORTH
BRADY PADDOCK
KEITH LANGSTON

205 LINDA DRIVE
DANGERFIELD, TEXAS 75638
(903) 645-7333
FAX: (903) 645-4415

ANTHONY BRUSTER
R. BRANDON MOORE
M. CHAD TRAMMEL
R. DANIEL SOREY
KIMBERLY A. RAMSEY*
SHAUNA R. KINNEY
SUSAN WHATLEY
CHRISTOPHER R. JOHNSON*
ROSE FRAGOSO†
R. BENJAMIN KING
* Licensed only in Louisiana
† Licensed only in New Mexico

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

TO: MR. DAVID SPRINGER; FAX No. 312.407.0411
MR. MICHAEL ETKIN; FAX No. 212.262.7402
MR. GEOFFREY JARVIS; FAX No. 302.622.7100
MR. MICHAEL YARNOFF; FAX No. 610.667.7706
MR. SEAN COFFEE; FAX No. 212.554.1444

DATE: DECEMBER 8, 2005
FROM: BRADLEY E. BECKWORTH
RE: LEAD PLAINTIFFS' DISCOVERY REQUESTS PURSUANT TO THEIR
OBJECTION TO DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER §§105 AND
363 AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO IMPLEMENT A KEY EMPLOYEE
COMPENSATION PROGRAM

TOTAL PAGES: 8 (INCLUDING COVER)

MESSAGE:

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED. THANK YOU.

PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL. This transmittal covers information intended for the use of the named addressee(s). If the reader of this message is not the named addressee or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient(s), please do not read the accompanying information, and note that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone receiving the message in error should notify (903) 645-7333 immediately by telephone and return the original message to said sender at the address indicated above by U.S. Mail. Thank you for your cooperation.



**NIX
PATTERSON
& ROACH, LLP**

Bradley E. Beckworth
Attorney at Law
Direct Dial: 903-645-7333 ext. 221
Direct Fax: 903-645-4415
E-mail: bbeckworth@nixlawfirm.com

December 8, 2005

Via Electronic Mail and Facsimile

Mr. David Springer
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom L.L.P.
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1285

**Re: Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests Pursuant to their Objection to Debtors'
Motion for Order Under §§ 105 and 363 Authorizing Debtors to Implement a
Key Employee Compensation Program**

Dear Mr. Springer:

We represent the Teachers' Retirement System of Oklahoma, the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, Raiffeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H. and Stichting Pensioenfond ABP (collectively, "Lead Plaintiffs"), the Court appointed Lead Plaintiffs in the consolidated securities class action entitled *In re Delphi Corp. Securities Litigation*, Master File No. 1:05-CV-2637 (NRB)(SDNY).

During the "meet and confer" telephone call on December 6, 2005, Debtors (for purposes of this letter, "Debtors" refers to Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession) requested that Lead Plaintiffs submit their discovery requests to Debtors by letter, in lieu of a formal set of interrogatories and/or requests for production. Pursuant to Debtors' request, Lead Plaintiffs hereby request that Debtors provide the information and documents detailed below.

For purposes of Debtors' response to this letter, the Uniform Definitions in Discovery Requests set forth in Civil Rule 26.3 of the Local Rules of the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York, incorporated by reference in Rule 7026-1 of the Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Local Rules") shall apply to this request for information and documents. Further, the requirements of Rules 7033-1 and 7034-1 of the Local Rules are incorporated herein.

Reference to the "KECP Motion" means the Motion for an Order under §§105 and 363 Authorizing the Debtors to Implement a Key Employee Compensation Program, and all amendments thereto. "Covered Employees" means the Delphi employees as defined and described in Paragraphs 20 and 24 of the Debtor's KECP Motion, and in Exhibit 1 attached thereto. The "Objection" means Lead Plaintiffs' Objection to Debtors' Motion for Order Under

Mr. David Springer
December 8, 2005
Page 2 of 7

§§ 105 and 136 Authorizing the Debtors to Implement a Key Employee Compensation Program, and all amendments thereto. In this regard, Lead Plaintiffs request the following:

1. Please identify each executive covered under the KECP Motion.
2. For each person identified in response to item number 1, please identify each person(s) so listed who participated in any manner in one or more of the following:
 - a. Financing transactions totaling approximately \$441 million reported by Debtors as sales of inventory or indirect materials, as described in detail in Lead Plaintiffs' Consolidated Class Action Complaint (hereinafter the "Complaint") at ¶¶122-54 (attached as Exhibit 1 to Lead Plaintiffs' Objection). Specifically:
 - (i) \$145 million in financing transactions with, Setech, Inc. ("Setech") as income from sales of indirect materials;
 - (ii) \$89 million in financing transactions with BBK, Ltd. ("BBK") as income from sales of inventory; and
 - (iii) \$200 million in financing transactions with Bank One Corporation ("Bank One") as income from sales of precious metals;
 - b. Transactions totaling more than \$240 million between Debtors and General Motors Corporation ("GM"), as described in detail in the Complaint at ¶¶155-68;
 - c. Transactions between Debtors and various service providers, including \$68 million in transactions with Electronic Data Systems ("EDS"), one of Debtors' information technology service providers, as described in detail in the Complaint at ¶¶173-84;
 - d. Debtors' identification of obligations that were not properly accrued for at the end of an accounting period that resulted in an overstatement of Debtors' pre-tax income by \$14 million in 2002 and by \$34 million in 2003, as described in detail in the Complaint at ¶¶185-87, as well as in Debtors' restated financial results for Fiscal Years 2002 and 2003, and selected financial data for Fiscal Years 2000 and 2001, in its Form 10K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (the "Restatement"), Item 7, Subparagraph (f);

Mr. David Springer
December 8, 2005
Page 3 of 7

- e. Debtors' accounting for Debtors' direct materials by understating the value of its direct materials inventory on a monthly, quarterly and yearly basis, as described in detail in the Complaint at ¶¶190-99; and
 - f. All transactions not heretofore listed, which are listed in Debtors' restated financial results for Fiscal Years 2002 and 2003, and selected financial data for Fiscal Years 2000 and 2001, in its Form 10K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (the "Restatement"), Item 7, Subparagraphs (a) through (g) (pages 21-23).
3. For each person identified in response to item number 2 (and/or each discrete subpart thereof), please state whether Debtors employed any investigation, processes and/or procedures to determine whether each such person's involvement in each transaction listed therein constituted:
 - a. A failure to exercise reasonable care;
 - b. A failure to exercise sound business judgment;
 - c. A dereliction of job duties;
 - d. Fraud (either civil or criminal in nature);
 - e. Mismanagement; and/or
 - f. Improper and/or undesirable conduct.
4. If Debtors answered "Yes" in response to item number 3 (and/or any discrete subpart thereof), please list and describe the results and/or conclusions reached by Debtors regarding each such person so identified.
5. If Debtors answered "Yes" in response to item number 3 (and/or any discrete subpart thereof), please identify each person who participated in any such investigation, processes and/or procedures.
6. Please identify the twenty-five executives referenced in the KECP Motion, who have departed Debtors' employ since January 1, 2005.
7. For each person identified in response to item number 6, please state the reason(s) for each such person's departure.
8. Please identify and describe the performance goals used to trigger the bonuses offered under the KECP's annual incentive plan, including the methodology used in setting the performance goals.
9. Please identify and describe the methodology underlying the allocation of the cash component of the KECP's emergence bonus plan.

Mr. David Springer
December 8, 2005
Page 4 of 7

10. Please list and provide a copy of any application for employment submitted to Debtors on behalf of a person who sought (or seeks) one or more of the positions presently held by the 486 executives who would be covered under the KECP.
11. Please identify every person you intend to call to testify at the hearing on the KECP Motion and describe the subject matters about which they will testify.
12. Do Debtors contend that the exercise of sound business judgment does not preclude Debtors from offering any form of key employee compensation to an employee who has:
 - a. Failed to exercise reasonable care;
 - b. Failed to exercise sound business judgment;
 - c. Been derelict in their job duties;
 - d. Engaged in fraud (either civil or criminal in nature);
 - e. Engaged in mismanagement; or
 - f. Engaged in improper and/or undesirable conduct.
13. Do Debtors contend that a failure to exercise sound business judgment does not preclude the Debtors from offering any form of key employee compensation program during the course of these Chapter 11 proceedings?
 - a. If so, state with particularity the bases of Debtors' contention, including the identification of all facts, opinions, and documents that support your contention.
 - b. Do Debtors contend that the failure to exercise sound business judgment does not preclude the Debtors from including, as a component of the key employee compensation program:
 - i. a pre-emergence incentive plan? State with particularity the bases of your contention, including the identification of all facts, opinions, and documents that Debtors contend support this contention.
 - ii. pre-emergence employment severance protection? State with particularity the bases of Debtors' contention, including the identification of all facts, opinions, and documents that Debtors contend support this contention.
 - iii. an emergence bonus plan? State with particularity the bases of Debtors' contention, including the identification of all facts, opinions, and documents that Debtors contend support this contention.

Mr. David Springer
December 8, 2005
Page 5 of 7

- iv. equity in the post-emergence company as a component of an emergence bonus plan? State with particularity the bases of Debtors' contention, including the identification of all facts, opinions, and documents that Debtors contend support this contention.
- 14. Please identify all documents you intend to offer into evidence or for any other purpose at the hearing on the KCEP Motion.
- 15. Please produce all documents regarding any matter responsive to item numbers 1-14.
- 16. Please produce a true and correct copy of the direct testimony, declaration, or affidavit, including exhibits, of each witness identified in response to item number 11.
- 17. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 2 of the Objection that any Delphi executive or former Delphi executive "knowingly participated in Delphi's massive accounting fraud, or . . . inexcusably tolerated or ignored that fraud" which is the subject of the consolidated securities class action, entitled *In re Delphi Corp. Securities Litigation*, Master File No. 1:05-CV-2637 (NRB) (S.D.N.Y.) (the "Consolidated Securities Litigation.").
- 18. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 2 of the Objection that "Delphi's massive accounting fraud . . . impelled the Company's slide towards bankruptcy."
- 19. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 2 of the Objection that Delphi's June 30, 2005, restatement of prior period earnings involves "one of the largest corporate frauds of our era."
- 20. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 4 of the Objection that any of the Delphi employees "who would presumably profit from the KCEP were directly involved in the sham transactions, inventory manipulating, and book-cooking" referenced in the Objection and alleged in the Consolidated Securities Litigation.
- 21. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 4 of the Objection that any "sham transactions, inventory manipulating, and book-cooking . . . triggered Delphi's bankruptcy."

Mr. David Springer
December 8, 2005
Page 6 of 7

22. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 8(e) of the Objection that "Delphi and its senior executives routinely set inventory target levels, instructed subordinates not to record high-dollar supplies as inventory and directed subordinates to delay delivery of inventory."
23. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 16 of the Objection that any purported "fraudulent conduct or willfull blindness" by any Delphi employee or former Delphi employee "precipitated the Debtor's collapse and necessitated this bankruptcy proceeding."
24. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 23 of the Objection that "the very executives who had fostered the Company's fraudulent culture and accounting practices, or had blithely ignored them in the face of mysteriously increasing earnings," remain "at the helm of the Company."
25. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraphs 24(a) through 24(c) of the Objection that Delphi employees Rodney O'Neal, David Wohleen, and Mark R. Weber were "involved in Delphi's fraudulent accounting practices."
26. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraphs 25(a) through 25(n) of the Objection that any of the persons identified therein were "involve[d] in Delphi's accounting fraud."
27. Please produce a true and correct copy of all documents relating to Lead Plaintiffs' allegation in Paragraph 26 of the Objection that "executives at all levels and in all business sectors of the Company either participated in or willfully ignored the Debtors' massive accounting fraud."
28. To the extent that the Debtors deny the allegations in the Objection as referenced in requests 17 through 27 above, please produce any and all documents that support Debtors' denial thereof.
29. Lead Plaintiffs hereby incorporate all discovery requests submitted to Debtors by each Objector to the KECP Motion as if same are set forth fully herein.


We look forward to receiving Debtors' Response in a timely manner as we fully expect to proceed with this hearing on January 5, 2006.

Mr. David Springer
December 8, 2005
Page 7 of 7

We reserve the right to serve additional requests as our investigation continues and after we have had an opportunity to review Debtors' responses to the discovery requests set forth above.

We will give you a full list of all persons we intend to depose as soon as possible. At this time, we intend to depose John D. Sheehan. Specifically, we are requesting dates and times for Mr. Sheehan's deposition in advance of your proposed January 2, 2006, starting date for depositions. We will provide you with the names of any additional person(s) we intend to depose as soon as reasonably practicable after we have had an opportunity to review Debtors' responses to the discovery requests set forth above.

Sincerely,



Bradley E. Beckworth

BEB/sc

cc: Mr. Sean Coffee
Mr. Michael Yarnoff
Mr. Geoffrey Jarvis
Mr. Michael Etkin

Exhibit 2

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10019
(212) 554-1400
Telecopier (212) 554-1444

TELECOPY TRANSMITTAL SHEET

FROM: John P. Coffey

DATE: December 12, 2005

RE: In re Delphi Corp.

PGS: 3 (including cover sheet)

TO: David Springer	312-407-8524 [Fax]
FIRM: SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM L.L.P.	
TO: Michael S. Etkin, Esq.	973-597-2313 [Fax]
FIRM: LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC	
TO: Bradley Beckworth	903-645-4415 [Fax]
FIRM: NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP	
TO: Stuart Grant	302-622-7100 [Fax]
FIRM: GRANT & EISENHOFER, P.A.	
TO: Michael Yarnoff	610-667-7056 [Fax]
FIRM: SCHIFFRIN & BARROWAY LLP	

MESSAGE:

WARNING: The information contained in this facsimile is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original to us at the above address by mail.
Thank you.

1016

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NEW YORK • CALIFORNIA • NEW JERSEY • LOUISIANA

John P. Coffey
sean@blbglaw.com
212-554-1409

December 12, 2005

Via Electronic Mail and Facsimile

David Springer, Esq.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom L.L.P.
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1285

Re: *In re Delphi Corporation, et al. Chapter 11 Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)*

Dear Mr. Springer:

On behalf of the Teachers' Retirement System of Oklahoma, the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, Raiffeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H. and Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP, the Court appointed lead plaintiffs in the consolidated securities class action entitled *In re Delphi Corp. Securities Litigation*, Master File No. 1:05-CV-2637 (NRB) (collectively, "Lead Plaintiffs"), we write concerning discovery related to Debtors' Motion for an Order under §§ 105 and 363 Authorizing the Debtors to Implement a Key Employee Compensation Program (the "KECP Motion").

First, with regard to Debtors' First Set of Interrogatories and Document Requests to the Securities Class Action Lead Plaintiffs, dated December 5, 2005 (the "Debtors' Discovery Requests"), Lead Plaintiffs intend to produce all non-privileged documents responsive to Debtors' Discovery Requests by your proposed deadline (that is, December 21, 2005). We agree to do so in anticipation of your reciprocal cooperation in producing documents sought by Lead Plaintiffs in our discovery requests served by letter on December 8 ("Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests").


Second, we have not yet received Debtors' written objections to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests, which, according to the schedule you circulated last week, were due to us on December 10. We would like to schedule a meet and confer to discuss our respective discovery requests and objections as soon as possible. Please let us know your availability for the meet and confer on these document requests. Assuming we get your promised response today or tomorrow, we would be available for a conference call on Thursday afternoon.

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP

David Springer, Esq.
December 12, 2005
Page 2

Finally, Lead Plaintiffs presently intend to call John D. Sheehan, Laura Marion, Dan Renick and John Rotko to testify at the January 5, 2006 hearing on the KECP Motion. Please let us know their availability for deposition as soon as possible. Once we have had an opportunity to review your witness list and the documents that Debtors produce in response to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests, we will be able to provide you with more information regarding any additional witnesses we intend to call at the hearing.

Sincerely,



John P. Coffey

cc: Michael Etkin, Esq.
Brad Beckworth, Esq.
Stuart Grant, Esq.
Michael Yarnoff, Esq.

Exhibit 3

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

DELPHI CORPORATION,
Debtor.

TRIAL SUBPOENA

CASE No.: 05-44481 (RDD)
(JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

CHAPTER 11

To:

John D. Sheehan

☒ **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear in the United States Bankruptcy Court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE

United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of
New York
United States Bankruptcy Court – Court of Honorable Robert D.
Drain
One Bowling Green
New York, New York 10004

DATE AND TIME

January 27, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.

ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE

 , attorney-at-law

DATE

December 23, 2005

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER

Matthew C. Moehlman
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
(212) 554-1472

Exhibit 4

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

DELPHI CORPORATION,
Debtor.

TRIAL SUBPOENA

CASE No.: 05-44481 (RDD)
(JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)


CHAPTER 11

To:

Laura Marion

☒ **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear in the United States Bankruptcy Court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE	DATE AND TIME
United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York United States Bankruptcy Court – Court of Honorable Robert D. Drain One Bowling Green New York, New York 10004	January 27, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.

ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE	DATE
 , attorney-at-law	December <u>23</u> , 2005

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER

Matthew C. Moehlman
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
(212) 554-1472

Exhibit 5

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

DELPHI CORPORATION,
Debtor.

TRIAL SUBPOENA

CASE No.: 05-44481 (RDD)
(JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)


CHAPTER 11

To:

Dan Renick

☒ **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear in the United States Bankruptcy Court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York United States Bankruptcy Court – Court of Honorable Robert D. Drain One Bowling Green New York, New York 10004	DATE AND TIME January 27, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.
--	--

ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE  , attorney-at-law	DATE December <u>23</u> , 2005
---	--

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER

Matthew C. Moehlman
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
(212) 554-1472

Exhibit 6

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

DELPHI CORPORATION,
Debtor.

TRIAL SUBPOENA

CASE No.: 05-44481 (RDD)
(JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

CHAPTER 11

To:

John Rotko

☒ **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to appear in the United States Bankruptcy Court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE

United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of
New York
United States Bankruptcy Court – Court of Honorable Robert D.
Drain
One Bowling Green
New York, New York 10004

DATE AND TIME

January 27, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.

ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE

 , attorney-at-law

DATE

December 23, 2005

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER

Matthew C. Moehlman
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
(212) 554-1472

Exhibit 7

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC

Hearing Date: January 5, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.

Michael S. Etkin, (ME 0570)
Ira M. Levee (IL 9958)
1251 Avenue of the Americas, 18th Floor
New York, New York 10020
(212) 262-6700 (Telephone)
(212) 262-7402 (Facsimile)
and
65 Livingston Avenue
Roseland, New Jersey 07068
(973) 597-2500 (Telephone)
(973) 597-2481 (Facsimile)

Bankruptcy Counsel to Lead Plaintiffs and the Prospective Class

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:	:	
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Chapter 11
	:	
Debtors.	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
	:	
	:	(Jointly Administered)

**DECLARATION OF JOHN P. COFFEY IN SUPPORT OF LEAD
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RELATED TO DEBTORS'
MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER §§ 105 AND 363 AUTHORIZING DEBTORS
TO IMPLEMENT A KEY EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION PROGRAM**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, JOHN P. COFFEY, hereby declares as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the bar of this Court and a partner with Bernstein Litowitz Berger and Grossmann LLP ("Bernstein Litowitz"), one of the Court-appointed Lead Counsel for the Teachers' Retirement System of Oklahoma, the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, Raiffeisen Kapitalanlage-Gesellschaft m.b.H. and Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP (collectively, "Lead Plaintiffs"), the court-

appointed Lead Plaintiffs in the securities class action entitled *In re Delphi Corp. Securities Litigation*. I submit this declaration in support of Lead Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery Related to Debtors' Motion for Order Under §§ 105 and 363 Authorizing Debtors to Implement a Key Employee Compensation Program (the "Motion to Compel"). The facts set forth below are known to me personally or based on reports made to me by other counsel for Lead Plaintiffs.

Procedural and Factual Background

2. On June 27, 2005, the four large institutional investors identified above were appointed by the Honorable Naomi Reice Buchwald of the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York to serve as Lead Plaintiffs to represent all Delphi securities purchasers in the class action entitled *In re Delphi Corp. Securities Litigation*. See Docket No. 32. In their consolidated complaint filed on September 30, 2005 (attached as Exhibit 1 to Lead Plaintiffs' Objection to Debtor's Motion for an Order Under §§ 105 and 363 Authorizing Debtors to Implement a Key Employee Compensation Program (the "Complaint")) Lead Plaintiffs alleged that Delphi Corp. ("Delphi" or the "Company"), various of its officers, and its auditor Deloitte & Touche, did, between March 7, 2000 and March 3, 2005 (the "Class Period"), commit a host of accounting improprieties that violated federal securities laws and resulted in the material misstatement of virtually every financial statement filed by the Company since it became a publicly-traded company in 1999. Based on Lead Plaintiffs' pre-filing investigation, and on Delphi's own admissions of its past improprieties in its June 30, 2005 restatement (the "Restatement") and related press releases, the Complaint describes a widespread, indeed pervasive, tolerance of accounting and financial chicanery among numerous

Delphi executives. *See* Complaint Sect. VI, ¶¶ 117-204 (describing fraudulent activity at Delphi). Indeed, the Complaint identified by name no fewer than fifteen current company executives who either were directly involved in, knew of, or turned a blind eye to alleged (or in many cases, admitted) accounting improprieties. *See, e.g.,* Complaint ¶¶ 123, 130, 132, 139, 193-4, 198 relating to Mark R. Weber; Executive Vice President, Operations, Human Resource Management & Corporate Affairs; Rick Birch, Delphi's Global Director of Production, Control and Logistics; Guy C. Hatchey, Vice President of Delphi and President of the Energy and Chassis Division; and Mark Lorenz, Delphi's Vice President of Operations and Logistics.

3. After Debtors filed for bankruptcy protection, Lead Plaintiffs authorized the retention of Lowenstein Sandler, P.C. to assist in the representation of Lead Plaintiffs and the prospective Class in the Chapter 11 proceeding.

4. On October 8, 2005, Debtors moved for an Order Under §§ 105 and 363 Authorizing Debtors to Implement a Key Employee Compensation Program (the "KECP") (the motion is hereinafter referred to as the "KECP Motion"). *See* Docket No. 0013. On October 14, 2005, Debtors re-filed the KECP Motion seeking substantially similar relief. *See* Docket No. 0213. Although the KECP Motion purported to describe the Debtors' financial history, it made no mention of the billion dollar-plus Restatement that had been filed just months before this bankruptcy filing, or of the underlying accounting scandal widely considered a substantial cause of that filing. The KECP Motion was likewise silent as to what measures, if any, had been taken to ensure that any executives who participated in, were aware of, or recklessly ignored the past financial improprieties would benefit from the proposed KECP. Nothing was said as to whether

there had been any scrutiny whatever of the fifteen executives who were named in the Complaint who remained with the Company as of October 8, 2005. As to those executives named in the Complaint who are no longer with the Company because they had been terminated for cause or urged to resign as a result of the accounting scandal, the KECP misleadingly lumps them within the twenty-five executives Debtors claim to have “lost” since January 1, 2005, KECP ¶ 17, a statistic cited as a ground for approving the KECP.

5. On November 22, 2005, Lead Plaintiffs timely filed an Objection to Debtor’s KECP Motion (the “Objection”). *See* Docket No. 1161. Lead Plaintiffs objected to the KECP Motion on the grounds that the Motion fails to provide evidence demonstrating that the proposed KECP is the result of sound business judgment. Specifically, Lead Plaintiffs asserted that Debtor’s proposed KECP is (i) not supported by sufficient facts; (ii) unconscionable because many of the senior executives who were involved in the admitted accounting improprieties are still managing the Company and stand to profit under the KECP; and (iii) not specific regarding key details of the plan, including the identity of the executives covered under the KECP and the specific performance levels that trigger the KECP.

6. In response to Lead Plaintiffs’ Objection, Debtors served their First Set of Interrogatories and Document Requests on Lead Plaintiffs (“Debtors’ Discovery Requests”). A copy of Debtors’ December 5, 2005 cover letter and Debtors’ Discovery Requests are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, respectively. Among other things, Debtors demanded that Lead Plaintiffs produce documents relating to the alleged accounting improprieties, inventory manipulation, and sham transactions that Lead

Plaintiffs contend should disqualify tainted executives from participating in the KECP. *See* Ex. B, *e.g.*, Requests No. 3, 6-8.

7. On December 6, 2005, several of my co-counsel, including Ira M. Levee of Lowenstein Sandler PC and Hannah E. Greenwald of my firm, participated in a telephonic meet and confer between Debtors and other parties who had objected to the KECP Motion, including counsel for the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers, International Union (USW), AFL-CIO (the “USW”) and the International Union of Electronic, Electrical, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers-Communications Workers of America (the “IUE-CWA”). During the meet and confer, Debtors proposed a discovery schedule that provided for, among other things, (i) Lead Plaintiffs to submit discovery requests to Debtors by December 8, 2005; (ii) Debtors to provide written objections to Lead Plaintiffs’ discovery requests by December 10, 2005; and (iii) Lead Plaintiffs to provide written objections to Debtors’ Discovery Requests by December 12, 2005. A copy of Debtors’ proposed schedule is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

8. In accordance with Debtors’ schedule, Lead Plaintiffs submitted their discovery requests to Debtors (“Lead Plaintiffs’ Discovery Requests”) on December 8, 2005. A copy of those requests is attached hereto as Exhibit D. Among other things, we informed Debtors that we had already identified at least one current executive that we intended to depose in connection with the KECP Motion (John D. Sheehan, former head of accounting during much of the period when the accounting improprieties occurred and now, notwithstanding the accounting improprieties that occurred on his watch, chief of

Delphi's restructuring effort), and that Lead Plaintiffs would provide the names of additional witnesses as soon as reasonably practicable.

9. On December 9, 2005, Debtors began producing documents responsive to requests propounded by the Committee of Unsecured Creditors, the USW and the IUE-CWA by posting such documents on an electronic database. Before allowing Lead Plaintiffs access to the electronic database, Debtors required that Lead Counsel execute their proposed Stipulation and Agreed Protective Order Governing Production and Use of Confidential and Highly Confidential Information in Connection With the Debtor's Motion for an Order Under §§ 105 and 363 Authorizing Debtors to Implement a Key Employee Compensation Program and Objections Filed Thereto (the "Confidentiality Stipulation and Order"). We did so, without any modification, on December 9, 2005. A copy of the Confidentiality Stipulation and Order is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

10. Pursuant to Debtors' schedule, Debtors' objections to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests were due to be served on December 10, 2005. Debtors did not serve their objections on that date; nor did they alert Lead Plaintiffs to any difficulties in complying with their own proposed schedule or ask that Lead Plaintiff consent to an extension of Debtors' time to object to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests. Furthermore, Debtors flatly denied a request by the unions to get an extension on their deadline for discovery responses. A copy of the Debtors' transmittal email is attached hereto as Exhibit F. Debtors stated that if the unions failed to produce their discovery responses by December 23, they will have breached their agreement and be precluded from offering evidence in support of their objections.¹

¹ Debtors themselves have insisted that, in order to ensure the fair and orderly consideration of the KECP Motion, a party that fails to timely comply with Debtors' schedule merits the sanction

11. Notwithstanding Debtors' failure to abide by their own schedule, Lead Plaintiffs timely informed Debtors on December 12, 2005 that they would produce all non-privileged documents responsive to Debtors' Discovery Requests by December 21, 2005, the date proposed by Debtors. A copy of Lead Plaintiffs' December 12, 2005 letter to Debtors is attached hereto as Exhibit H. Having further considered the KECP Motion and the discovery sought by Debtors in the Debtors' Discovery Requests, Lead Plaintiffs also informed Debtors of their intention to call Sheehan, Laura Marion ("Marion"), Dan Renick ("Renick") and John Rotko ("Rotko") to testify at the hearing on the KECP Motion.

12. On December 12, 2005, without explanation as to their failure to abide by their own schedule, Debtors tardily provided Lead Plaintiffs with their written objections to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests. A copy of Debtors' December 12, 2005 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit I. Debtors objected to sixteen of the twenty-nine requests, claiming that the requests were (i) not relevant to the KECP motion and (ii) an improper attempt to obtain discovery for the underlying securities action in violation of the litigation stay imposed by Section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and the discovery stay imposed by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (the "PSLRA"). Debtors also objected to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests to the extent the requests sought information on the identities of the executives covered under the KECP, contending that such information was an invasion of privacy. For this reason, Debtors stated that they would only provide identifying information for the five most highly-compensated

of preclusion. Lead Plaintiffs respectfully submit that Debtors' failure to object in a timely manner requires rejection of their objections. *See Eldaghar v. City of New York Dep't of Citywide Admin. Serv.*, No. 02Civ.9151, 2003 WL 22455224, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 28, 2003) (holding that failure to provide objections to discovery requests in a timely manner operates as a waiver), attached hereto as Exhibit G.

participants of the program. In addition, Debtors objected to Lead Plaintiffs' request to depose Sheehan in connection with the KECP Motion, stating that they would not produce him for deposition "at least not without a satisfactory explanation by the requesting party as to the relevance of his testimony and the identification of areas of potential inquiry."

13. On December 13, 2005, the hearing on the KECP was adjourned from January 5, 2006 to January 27, 2006. Thereafter, Debtors circulated a revised discovery schedule for the KECP Motion hearing. A copy of the Debtors' transmittal e-mail and revised schedule is attached hereto as Exhibit J. Among other things, the date by which Lead Plaintiffs are to produce documents sought by Debtors – including those relating to the allegations of misconduct – has been moved to December 23, 2005.

The December 15, 2005 Meet and Confer

14. On December 15, 2005, I, other Co-Lead Counsel, and Bankruptcy Counsel participated in a telephonic meet and confer with Debtors' Counsel in an attempt, among other things, to resolve the discovery issues relating to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests in connection with the KECP Motion and Debtors' objections thereto. As set forth in greater detail below, the meet and confer focused on Debtors' four primary objections to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests, which include (i) the relevance of Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests; (ii) the purpose of Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests; (iii) the confidentiality and treatment of information related to certain beneficiaries of the KECP; and (iv) the individuals whom Lead Plaintiffs intend to depose and call as witnesses in connection with the hearing on the KECP Motion.

15. First, Lead Plaintiffs explained that our discovery requests seek documents which are relevant to the KECP Motion, namely, whether the KECP will reward executives who were involved in Delphi's accounting improprieties. We explained that, because Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests relate directly to determining (i) what the intended beneficiaries of the KECP did or did not do with respect to Delphi's improper accounting practices and inventory manipulations, and (ii) what Delphi did to ensure that the executives who were involved in the accounting improprieties would not benefit under the KECP, our discovery requests are highly relevant to evaluating the appropriateness of and the business judgment behind Debtors' KECP Motion. We also pointed out that Debtors' own actions demonstrate that they believe that this information is relevant to the motion. Indeed, Debtors' Discovery Requests to Lead Plaintiffs, which were served *prior* to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests to Debtors, seek the production of almost identical information and documents. *See* Exhibit B.

16. In response, Debtors stated, in substance, that the requested discovery is not relevant.

17. Second, Lead Plaintiffs addressed Debtors' contention that Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests were an attempt to improperly obtain discovery in the underlying securities case in violation of the stays imposed by the Bankruptcy Code and the PSLRA. We explained that, regardless of whether the requested information is relevant to the underlying securities case, the requested information is highly relevant to the particular issues raised by the KECP Motion filed by Debtors and that, as creditors and parties-in-interest in the bankruptcy proceeding, Lead Plaintiffs are entitled to that information irrespective of the underlying securities case and the related stays. In

addition, we explained that Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests were narrowly tailored to address the specific issues raised by the KECP Motion and Lead Plaintiffs' Objection thereto.

18. In response, Debtors stated, in substance, that the requested discovery was an improper attempt to obtain discovery for the underlying securities case and thus grounds to refuse to produce such discovery in connection with the KECP Motion.

19. Third, Lead Plaintiffs addressed Debtors' contention that providing identifying information for executives covered under the KECP, other than information about the five most highly-compensated beneficiaries of the KECP, constituted an "invasion of privacy." We noted that concerns of privacy should not be an issue since Lead Counsel and Bankruptcy Counsel had duly executed the Confidentiality Stipulation and Order proposed by Debtors. In response, Debtors stated (without elaboration) that the Confidentiality Stipulation and Order was insufficient to protect against invasions of privacy. I then offered to consider any revised confidentiality order that Debtors believed would be sufficient to protect the privacy of the KECP beneficiaries. Debtors' Counsel stated that they would "think about it." As of this date, Debtors have not circulated any revision to the existing confidentiality order on this point.

20. Fourth, we addressed Debtors' refusal to produce Sheehan for deposition. In doing so, we explained Lead Plaintiffs' rationale for seeking to depose Sheehan, including the reasons why Sheehan is a relevant and necessary witness. We also explained Lead Plaintiffs' rationale for seeking to depose additional Delphi witnesses, including Marion, Renick and Rotko. *See infra*, ¶¶ 27-30. Notwithstanding our explanation, Debtors would not reconsider their refusal to produce the witnesses for

deposition, and would not even confirm whether these individuals are intended beneficiaries of the KECP.

21. At the end of the meet and confer, in a final attempt to narrow the disputed discovery issues and refine the issues that may require the Court's intervention, Lead Counsel inquired whether any documents sought in Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests existed. Specifically, we asked if Delphi had scrutinized whether any of the intended beneficiaries of the KECP were involved in the accounting improprieties and, if so, whether there were documents memorializing such consideration. Debtors refused to answer these questions. Debtors also refused to identify a witness knowledgeable regarding what the Company did or did not do to ensure that the wrongdoers did not benefit under the KECP.

22. At no point during the December 15, 2005 meet and confer did Debtors' counsel claim that the discovery sought by Lead Plaintiff was burdensome to the estate.

23. On December 15, 2005, Debtors provided Lead Plaintiffs with further objections and partial responses to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests. Debtors, in large part, restated their objections by incorporating the objections set forth in their December 12, 2005 letter. *See* Exhibit I. A copy of Debtors' December 15, 2005 letter setting forth Debtors' further objections and partial responses to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests is attached hereto as Exhibit K.

The Need For Judicial Intervention

24. Despite Lead Plaintiffs' best efforts to resolve the discovery disputes raised by Debtors in their untimely objections to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests, the parties have been unable to reach a resolution concerning the issues raised above.

Accordingly, Lead Plaintiffs seek the Court's assistance in resolving the parties' discovery dispute.

25. Specifically, Lead Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court order Debtors to produce all documents and information responsive to Lead Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests. As discussed above, the requested discovery is critical and necessary to evaluate whether Debtors' KECP constitutes the exercise of sound business judgment. The requested discovery seeks information that goes directly to the heart of the KECP Motion, including, (i) the identities of the executives covered under the KECP; (ii) whether the executives covered under the KECP were involved in Delphi's improper accounting practices and inventory manipulations; and (iii) what, if anything, Delphi did do to ensure that the executives covered under the KECP were not involved in Delphi's accounting improprieties.

26. Lead Plaintiffs also respectfully request that the Court order Debtors to produce Sheehan, Marion, Rotko and Renick for deposition reasonably in advance of the January 27, 2006 hearing on the KECP Motion. These individuals are relevant to the KECP Motion because, as executives of Delphi for the last several years, these four individuals are presumably beneficiaries of the KECP, are familiar with other beneficiaries of the KECP and, as set forth in more detail below, are knowledgeable about Delphi's accounting improprieties and inventory manipulations. Lead Plaintiffs note that these four individuals are a modest fraction of the nineteen current executives whom Lead Plaintiffs believe are tainted by the accounting scandal. We summarize below the basis for requesting these four depositions.

27. Sheehan was Delphi's Chief Accounting Officer and Controller from July 2002 until March 2005. As such, he had a top-down view of Delphi's accounting practices and was in a position to know about Delphi's accounting improprieties, including who participated in various fraudulent accounting practices. Sheehan would provide relevant testimony relating to his knowledge of the accounting improprieties, including, but not limited to his knowledge of the individuals involved in the particular transactions and whether the individuals involved are covered under the KECP. *See* Complaint at ¶¶ 31, 195, 198, 605.

28. Marion is the current and former Director of Delphi's Financial Reporting and Accounting Division. As such, she was intimately familiar with and had access to all details relating to Delphi's financial reporting and accounting practices during the time period in which Delphi's accounting improprieties took place. In particular, Marion was responsible for closing Delphi's books, including during the 2000 fiscal year, when, upon information and belief, Delphi re-opened its books after the close to record a \$200 million financing transaction with Bank One as a "sale" of precious metals. Marion would provide relevant testimony relating to her knowledge of Delphi's accounting improprieties, including, but not limited to, her knowledge regarding the \$200 million Bank One transaction, including the individuals involved in the transaction and whether the individuals involved are covered under the KECP. *See* Complaint at ¶¶ 152-154.²

² We note that, in the course of Lead Plaintiffs' investigation, we have learned important details regarding Delphi's fraudulent transaction with Bank One. Specifically, in December 2000, Delphi disguised a loan for \$200 million from Bank One as a sale of precious metals from its inventory. To make this \$200 million loan appear as a sale of inventory on its books, Delphi re-opened its books for the year ended December 31, 2000 and made new journal entries. It is our understanding that, because of her end-of-year financial duties, Laura Marion is knowledgeable about who was involved in re-opening

29. Renick is a Director of Plant Production, Control, and Logistics for Delphi's Electronics Safety Division. In this position, Renick is intimately familiar with Delphi's schemes to understate its inventory. Renick would provide relevant testimony relating to his knowledge of Delphi's accounting improprieties, including, but not limited to, Delphi's schemes to understate its inventory, including the individuals involved in these practices and whether the individuals involved are covered under the KECP. *See* Complaint at ¶¶ 193-94.

30. Rotko is the Head of Indirect Materials in Mexico for Delphi's Electronics and Safety Division. In this position, Rotko is intimately familiar with Delphi's schemes to understate its inventory as well as Delphi's improper financing transactions with Setech. Rotko would provide relevant testimony regarding his knowledge of individuals and accounting methods involved in understating inventory at Delphi's facilities in Mexico. ¶¶136-38.

31. Clearly, these four executives – even though they are just a sampling of the executives covered under Debtor's KECP – possess firsthand knowledge of Delphi's accounting fraud and the executives who either participated in or willfully ignored the fraud. For this reason, these executives are highly relevant to determining whether the KECP serves to further enrich executive wrongdoers and constitutes the exercise of sound business judgment.

32. In sum, Lead Plaintiffs submit that discovery is necessary to evaluate whether the proposed KECP is the product of sound business judgment and, as set forth in the accompanying Motion to Compel, respectfully ask the Court to order Debtors to

Delphi's books and recording journal entries to make the financing transaction look like a "sale."

produce (i) Sheehan, Marion, Renick and Rotko for deposition and (ii) the requested documents and information.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 23rd day of December, 2005.

/s/ John P. Coffey
John P. Coffey

Exhibit 8

Slip Copy
Slip Copy, 2005 WL 3177723 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Slip Copy)

Page 1

H

Briefs and Other Related Documents

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court, S.D. New York.

Gary W. DODSON, Plaintiff,

v.

CBS BROADCASTING INC., et al., Defendants.

No. 02 Civ. 9270(KMW)(AJ).

Nov. 29, 2005.

OPINION AND ORDER

PECK, Chief Magistrate J.

*1 Presently before the Court is plaintiff Dodson's "Motion for Contempt & Court Order to Enforce Court Issued Subpoena." (Dkt. No. 82.) The motion is *DENIED* in all respects.

FACTS

Discovery in this case ended in late 2003, and in June 2004 I recommended that defendants' summary judgment motion should be granted in part and denied in part. Dodson v. CBS, 02 Civ. 9270, 2004 WL 1336231 (S.D.N.Y. June 15, 2004) (Peck, M.J.). Judge Wood affirmed my Report and Recommendation on August 31, 2004. (Dkt. No. 55.) The parties thereafter were to file their Pretrial Order, and the case is trial ready, although no trial date has yet been set.

On or about October 5, 2005, Dodson served a subpoena *duces tecum* on Bettina Plevan, counsel of record for defendants, returnable at Dodson's home in New Jersey, seeking 10 categories of documents.

On or about October 18, 2005, defendants objected to the subpoena.

On or about November 7, 2005, Dodson filed the instant motion for contempt and to enforce the subpoena (Dkt. No. 82), and on November 22, 2005, defendants filed their opposition papers (Dkt.Nos.84-85).

ANALYSIS

Dodson's motion is procedurally defective in at least two ways: (a) He did not request a pre-motion conference, as required by Judge Wood's rules (Judge Wood's Individual Practices ¶ 2.A; *see also* S.D.N.Y. Local Civil Rule 37.2); and (b) The motion is not accompanied by a Memorandum of Law, as required by S.D.N.Y. Local Civil Rule 7.1.

Furthermore, even aside from the procedural defects, Dodson's motion lacks merit.

Contempt is not available since defendants responded to the subpoena by serving objections. *See Fed.R.Civ.P. 45(c)(2)(B)*. When objections to a subpoena have been made, the correct procedure is a motion to compel, not a motion for contempt. *Id.*

As to the portion of Dodson's motion that seeks to compel compliance with the subpoena: Discovery closed long ago. Dodson's subpoena clearly seeks discovery, as is apparent from his having the subpoena returnable to his address in New Jersey at the present time, instead of to Judge Wood's courtroom at the time of trial. Moreover, the scope of the request is broad and clearly is designed for discovery, not last-minute trial needs (such as for originals of documents where copies were produced in discovery and there is a need for the original at trial). While Rule 45 can be used to subpoena documents to be introduced at trial as trial exhibits, the need to do so should be limited because of the liberal federal pretrial discovery rules. Dodson here had ample discovery.

Rule 45 "[t]rial subpoenas [*duces tecum*] may not be used, however, as means to engage in discovery after the discovery deadline has passed." Puritan Inv. Corp. v. ASLL Corp., No. Civ. A. 97-1580, 1997 WL 793569 at *1 (E.D.Pa. Dec. 9, 1997) (& cases cited therein); *accord*, e.g., 9 *Moore's Federal Practice*, § 45.02

Slip Copy
Slip Copy, 2005 WL 3177723 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Slip Copy)

Page 2

(Matthew Bender 3d ed. 2005) ("Several courts have concluded that after the discovery deadline a party may not use a subpoena to obtain materials from third parties that could have been produced during discovery.") (citing cases); Playboy Enter. Int'l Inc. v. OnLine Entm't, Inc., No. 00-Civ.-6618, 2003 WL 1567120 at *1-2 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 13, 2003); Mortgage Info. Servs., Inc. v. Kitchens, 210 F.R.D. 562, 566-68 & n. 2 (W.D.N.C.2002) ("After reviewing the relevant case law on both sides of this issue, the Court adopts the rule followed by the majority of jurisdictions and holds that a Rule 45 subpoena does in fact constitute discovery.") (citing cases & authorities); Dreyer v. GACS Inc., 204 F.R.D. 120, 122-23 (N.D.Ind.2001) ("Rule 45 subpoenas constitute 'discovery' within the meaning of Rules 26 and 34.... [T]his Court, like *Rice*, does not believe 'that a party should be allowed to employ a subpoena after a discovery deadline to obtain materials from third parties that could have been produced during discovery.'"); Grant v. Otis Elevator Co., 199 F.R.D. 673, 675 (N.D.Okla.2001) ("Litigants may not use the subpoena power of the court to conduct discovery after the discovery deadline ."); Alper v. United States, 190 F.R.D. 281, 283-84 (D.Mass.2000); Rice v. United States, 164 F.R.D. 556, 558 & n. 1 (N.D.Okla.1995); BASF Corp. v. Old World Trading Co., No. 86 C 5602, 1992 WL 24076 at *2 (N.D.Ill. Feb. 4, 1992) (Trial subpoenas "may not be used as a means to engage in further discovery.... Here, discovery has been closed for almost eleven months, and the court will not allow the parties to engage in discovery through trial subpoenas. Furthermore, the court's policy of requiring parties to submit a pretrial order detailing those documents which it may use at trial is rendered nugatory if a trial subpoena may issue demanding documents not previously produced or identified."); Stockwell v. Am. Allsafe Co., No. CIV-84-1179, 1986 WL 13941 at *1 (W.D.N.Y. Dec. 9, 1986); Windsor Commc'ns Group, Inc. v. Price Waterhouse, No. Civ. A

85-4119, 1986 WL 9888 at *1 (E.D.Pa. Sept. 8, 1986); Pitter v. American Express Co., 82 Civ. 7451, 1984 WL 1272 at *6 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 27, 1984); United States v. Watchmakers of Switzerland Info. Ctr. Inc., 27 F.R.D. 513, 515 (S.D.N.Y.1961).

*2 Here, it is clear from the scope of Dodson's subpoena (and its return time and place) that it is for discovery purposes. As such, it is quashed and Dodson's motion is *DENIED*.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Dodson's motion for contempt and to enforce the subpoena *duces tecum* (Dkt. No. 82) is *DENIED*.

SO ORDERED.

S.D.N.Y.,2005.

Dodson v. CBS Broadcasting, Inc.

Slip Copy, 2005 WL 3177723 (S.D.N.Y.)

Briefs and Other Related Documents ([Back to top](#))

- [1:02cv09270](#) (Docket) (Nov. 20, 2002)

END OF DOCUMENT

Exhibit 9

Not Reported in F.Supp.
Not Reported in F.Supp., 1995 WL 736907 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.)

Page 1

C

Briefs and Other Related Documents

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court, S.D. New York.
COSTAMAR SHIPPING CO., LTD., Petitioner,
v.
KIM-SAIL, LTD., Respondent.
No. 95 CIV. 3349 (KTD).

Dec. 12, 1995.

Edward P. Flood, Chalos & Brown, P.C., New
York City.

Terry L. Stoltz, New York City.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

FRANCIS, United States Magistrate Judge.

*1 The plaintiff in this action, Costamar Shipping Co., Ltd. ("Costamar") seeks to compel non-party Kersten Shipping Agency, Inc. ("Kersten") to produce for inspection certain documents identified in a subpoena. In addition, Costamar seeks attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with bringing this motion. For the reasons that follow, Costamar's motion is denied.

Background

On June 27, 1995, this Court confirmed an arbitration award of \$358,028.13 payable to Costamar by Kim-Sail, Ltd. ("Kim-Sail"). This arbitration arose from a dispute between Costamar, ^{FN1} owner of the shipping vessel M/V Adventure in 1991 and 1992, and Kim-Sail, ^{FN2} which chartered the M/V Adventure. To date, Kim-Sail has not paid any portion of the judgment.

To aid in satisfying the judgment, Costamar served Kim-Sail with a subpoena pursuant to Rule 69(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. It personally served Philip Gardner, Kim-Sail's Corporate Secretary, on July 6, 1995. The subpoena demanded that Kim-Sail produce records that would assist Costamar in identifying

assets belonging to Kim-Sail.

On that same day, Costamar personally served Mr. Gardner with a subpoena addressed to Kersten Shipping (the "First Kersten Subpoena"). Mr. Gardner has been the President of Kersten Shipping since August 1994 and was Chartering Manager of Kersten in 1991. Deposition of Philip C. Gardner, dated July 19, 1995 ("Gardner Dep.") at 41. Kersten Shipping is a New York corporation that serves as Kim-Sail's general agent in the United States, and it acted in this capacity in 1991 when it chartered the M/V Adventure from Costamar. Kersten has acted as agent for a number of other companies engaged in commercial shipping in addition to Kim-Sail. Gardner Aff. at ¶ 2.

The First Kersten Subpoena required the production of: (1) all Kersten financial and property records related to Kim-Sail, (2) Kersten's most recent bank ledger sheets with respect to accounts owned by Kim-Sail, and (3) real property deeds owned by Kim-Sail in Kersten's possession. It also sought to depose Mr. Gardner. The required statutory fees were tendered at the time of service. Fed.R.Civ.P. 45(b)(1).

In response to the subpoena, Mr. Gardner produced copies of four time charters involving other vessels Kim-Sail had chartered between 1993 and 1995, as well as check stubs from Kim-Sail's New York checking account which had been closed in 1993. Flood Aff. at ¶ 22. During his deposition, Mr. Gardner noted that he had not attended any of Kim-Sail's Board of Directors meetings and did not know the names of its president, vice-president, and treasurer. Gardner Dep. at 8-10, 16, 89. In addition, although he was Kim-Sail's Corporate Secretary, he did not know any of Kim-Sail's personnel in Grand Cayman where it is incorporated, but only corresponded with a post office box address there. Gardner Dep. at 11-12, 15. Responding to the plaintiff's query concerning Kim-Sail's assets, Mr.

Not Reported in F.Supp.

Page 2

Not Reported in F.Supp., 1995 WL 736907 (S.D.N.Y.)

(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.)

Gardner testified that Kim-Sail was an inactive company and had no assets to satisfy the judgment. Gardner Aff. at ¶ 11.

*2 Subsequent to the deposition, Kersten produced bank statements from Kim-Sail's money market account from July 1993 through June 1995, an insurance cover note, and the agency agreement between Kersten Shipping and Kim-Sail which had been executed in July 1979. Flood Aff. at ¶ 24. Mr. Gardner asserted that because of limited time, he had not been able to gather these documents by the deposition date. Gardner Aff. at ¶ 5. In addition, Kersten advised Costamar that it possessed a June 30, 1993 Accounts Receivable Report that covered activities as far back as 1991 and was still trying to determine if it could obtain any voyage files prior to August 1993. Gardner Aff. at ¶ 8.

On the basis of Mr. Gardner's testimony that revenue derived from Kim-Sail had been placed directly in Kersten's general bank account, ^{FN3} Costamar alleged that Kersten had commingled its assets with Kim-Sail's. Flood Aff. at ¶ 27. Recognizing that some of Kim-Sail's income had been deposited in Kersten's bank account and that Kersten had not produced its bank records in response to its initial subpoena, Costamar personally served Ben Bradburn of Kersten with a second subpoena (the "Second Kersten Subpoena"). No witness fee was tendered at this time.

The subject of this motion, the Second Kersten Subpoena, requested the production of: (1) all financial and business records maintained by Kersten pertaining to Kim-Sail's activities, (2) a copy of all charter parties in which Kersten acted as the general agent between 1991 and the present, (3) Kersten's bank records for the years 1991-1995, (4) all documents relating to corporate securities owned by Kersten, (5) all financial records of businesses in which Kersten has a ownership interest, (6) the names and addresses of

enterprises currently indebted to Kersten, (7) copies of Kersten's income tax returns for the last five years, and (8) all titles to property owned by Kersten. Kersten objected to this subpoena. It stated that Costamar had all pertinent Kim-Sail documents, apart from the charter documents which Costamar had agreed to view in Kersten's office. With regard to the other documents, Kersten objected to their production because they referred to operations of Kersten and not of Kim-Sail.

Discussion

A. Service of the Second Kersten Subpoena

Kersten asserts that the Second Kersten Subpoena was improperly served because of Costamar's failure to tender statutory fees at the time of service. Where no fee is tendered with the service of a subpoena requiring a witness' attendance, the service is invalid. CF & I Steel Corp. v. Mitsui & Co. (USA), 713 F.2d 494, 496 (9th Cir.1983). Rule 45(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure states that "service of a subpoena ... shall be made by delivering a copy [of the subpoena] ... and, *if the person's attendance is commanded*, by tendering to that person the fees for one day's attendance and the mileage allowed by law." Fed.R.Civ.P. 45(b)(1) (emphasis added). Since the Second Kersten Subpoena only required the production of documents and not the attendance of a witness, service was valid.

B. Entitlement to Discovery

*3 Rule 69 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that "[i]n aid of the judgment or execution, the judgment creditor ... may obtain discovery from any person, including the judgment debtor, in the manner provided in these rules or in the manner provided by the practice of the state in which the district court is held." Fed.R.Civ.P. 69(a). Under this rule, discovery may be "permitted against a non-party where the

Not Reported in F.Supp.

Page 3

Not Reported in F.Supp., 1995 WL 736907 (S.D.N.Y.)

(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.)

relationship between the judgment debtor and the non-party is sufficient to raise a reasonable doubt about the bona fides of the transfer of assets between them". Magnaleasing, Inc. v. Staten Island Mall, 76 F.R.D. 559, 562 (S.D.N.Y.1977) (citing Caisson Corp. v. County West Building Corp., 62 F.R.D. 331, 335 (E.D.Pa.1974)). Pursuant to Rule 69(a), "the judgment creditor must be given the freedom to make a broad inquiry to discover hidden or concealed assets of the judgment debtor." Caisson, 62 F.R.D. at 334 (citations omitted); 12 Charles A. Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 3014 at 72 (1973).

Generally, non-parties may only be examined about the assets of a judgment debtor and cannot be required to disclose their own assets. Magnaleasing, 76 F.R.D. at 561-62; Caisson, 62 F.R.D. at 334; Burak v. Scott, 29 F.Supp. 775, 776 (D.D.C.1939) (quashing Rule 69 subpoena requiring non-parties to disclose their individual assets); 12 Charles A. Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 3014 at 72 (1973). Thus, under Rule 69(a) and existing case law, the general rule is that non-party discovery is limited to a search for the defendant's hidden assets. Magnaleasing, 76 F.R.D. at 561-62 (citing Burak, 29 F.Supp. at 776). Applying this rule here, discovery must therefore be restricted to the alleged transfer of Kim-Sail's assets to Kersten. With regard to the Second Kersten Subpoena, only Kersten records relating to activities conducted on behalf of Kim-Sail are discoverable. However, this category of documents has purportedly been produced pursuant to the First Kersten Subpoena.

In the alternative, Costamar alleges that an alter ego relationship exists between Kim-Sail, the judgment debtor, and Kersten. If such a relationship were established, Costamar could pierce the corporate veil and obtain discovery of Kersten's assets. In Trustees of North Florida

Operating Engineers Health & Welfare Fund v. Lane Crane Service, Inc., 148 F.R.D. 662, 664 (M.D.Fla.1993), the court held that evidence that a non-party was an alter ego of the judgment debtor was adequate to warrant discovery from the non-party in aid of execution. However, the mere allegation of an alter ego relationship is insufficient; it must be supported by facts showing the basis for the assertion. Strick Corp. v. Thai Teak Products Co., 493 F.Supp. 1210, 1218 (E.D.Pa.1980).

In New York, courts "disregard the corporate form reluctantly." Itel Containers Int'l. Corp. v. Atlanttrafik Express Service Ltd., 909 F.2d 698, 703 (2d Cir.1990). The corporate veil will be pierced only when the corporate "form has been used to achieve fraud, or when the corporation has been so dominated by an individual or another corporation ... and its separate identity so disregarded, that it primarily transacted the dominator's business rather than its own and can be called the other's alter ego." Gartner v. Snyder, 607 F.2d 582, 586 (2d Cir.1979) (citation omitted). The factors that courts consider in determining whether to pierce the corporate veil include the intermingling of corporate and personal funds, undercapitalization of the corporation, failure to observe corporate formalities including the maintenance of separate books and records, failure to pay dividends, insolvency at the time of a transaction, siphoning off of funds by the dominant shareholder, and the inactivity of other officers and directors. William Wrigley Jr. Co. v. Waters, 890 F.2d 594, 600-01 (2d Cir.1989) (collecting cases). Although there is no set rule as to how many of these factors must be present to pierce the corporate veil, the "general principle followed by the courts has been that liability is imposed when doing so would achieve an equitable result." Id. at 601 (citation omitted).

*4 An inference can be drawn from Kersten's

Not Reported in F.Supp.
Not Reported in F.Supp., 1995 WL 736907 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.)

Page 4

commingling of its funds with those of Kim-Sail that there may have been a transfer of assets between the two corporations. The general rule is that an agent must segregate its principal's funds from its own:

[u]nless otherwise agreed, an agent receiving or holding things on behalf of the principal is subject to a duty to the principal not to receive or deal with them so that they will appear to be his own, and not so to mingle them with his own things as to destroy their identity.

Restatement (Second) of Agency § 398 (1957). However, the mere fact that there is co-mingling of assets is not enough to establish a prima facie case of alter ego. See William Wrigley, 890 F.2d at 600-01 (alter ego analysis requires consideration of totality of circumstances). In addition, there has been no evidence that Kersten used Kim-Sail for its own private ends, another element important to demonstrating an alter ego relationship. On the contrary, Kim-Sail was indebted to Kersten for \$420,000. Gardner Aff. at ¶ 11. Furthermore, there has been no evidence of fraud or unjust enrichment. Thus, Costamar has not demonstrated that the corporate veil should be pierced.

Nevertheless, further discovery of Kersten's relationship with Kim-Sail may be appropriate. If, through such discovery, Costamar can provide evidence of fraud or unjust enrichment, additional discovery into Kersten's assets may be warranted on the basis of an alter ego theory.

C. Attorney's Fees

Pursuant to rule 37(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Costamar seeks reasonable expenses incurred in bringing this motion, including attorneys' fees. Because its motion is denied, Costamar's request for attorneys' fees is also denied.

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, Costamar's motion to compel Kersten to produce documents in response to the Second Kersten Subpoena is denied.

SO ORDERED.

FN1. Costamar is a foreign corporation with an office and principal place of business in Piraeus, Greece. Affidavit of Edward P. Flood dated August 23, 1995 ("Flood Aff.") at ¶ 3.

FN2. Kim-Sail is a foreign corporation incorporated in the Cayman Islands. Affidavit of Philip Gardner, dated August 28, 1995 ("Gardner Aff.") at ¶ 1.

FN3. The following exchange occurred between Mr. Gardner and Costamar's lawyers:

Q: Pursuant to the charter parties that Kim-Sail had worked out with its shippers and/or subcharterers, they were required to pay freight by paying into Kim-Sail account; is that correct?

A: No, they would be instructed to pay into Kersten's account at least since I have been president. We have taken the freight money, any freight money into Kersten's account and paid expenses out of that account. What was done before that, I really don't know.

Q: So the money is put into Kersten's account?

A: Since I have been president, that is the way I have handled it.

Gardner Dep. at 39-40.

S.D.N.Y., 1995.

Costamar Shipping Co., Ltd. v. Kim-Sail, Ltd.
Not Reported in F.Supp., 1995 WL 736907 (S.D.N.Y.)

Briefs and Other Related Documents ([Back to top](#))

Not Reported in F.Supp.

Page 5

Not Reported in F.Supp., 1995 WL 736907 (S.D.N.Y.)

(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.)

- [1:95cv03349](#) (Docket) (May. 08, 1995)

END OF DOCUMENT

Exhibit 10

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 1

H

Briefs and Other Related Documents

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court, S.D. New York.

Han-Mo SONG, Plaintiff,

v.

DREAMTOUCH, INC., Chol-Ho Chong, Young

Sook Chong, Defendants.

No. 01 Civ. 0386(AGS).

May 8, 2001.

MEMORANDUM ORDER

SCHWARTZ, J.

I. Factual Background and Instant Motions

*1 Familiarity with the facts of this action is presumed here; for a description of such facts, the parties are referred to the Court's decision on plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction issued on March 1, 2001. In sum, the case centers on a Technology Transfer Agreement (the "Agreement"), entered into by the parties in August 2000, by which plaintiff transferred certain rights to defendants in an invention known as the One-Touch Automatic Dialer. Plaintiff was to receive certain payments and was to satisfy certain duties as chief technical officer of defendant Dreamtouch. As a result of disagreements between the parties as to whether plaintiff satisfied his duties under the Agreement, plaintiff's employment with defendants was terminated on January 4, 2001.

This diversity action followed. In the Amended Complaint, plaintiff asserts claims under New York law for: (i) fraudulent inducement to contract; (ii) breach of contract; and (iii) breach of fiduciary duty. He requests certain declaratory relief, damages and rescission of the contract. (First Amend. Verified Compl. ¶¶ 39-52.) Defendants have asserted counterclaims for: (i) breach of contract; (ii) breach of the implied

covenant of good faith and fair dealing; and (iii) tortious interference with prospective economic advantage. Defendants request monetary damages based on payments made by defendants to plaintiff (and/or his sister-in-law) for delivery of equipment for the One-Touch Automatic Dialer system, and defendants' expenses incurred in support of Dreamtouch's efforts to develop and market the relevant technology.

Two previous applications by plaintiff for preliminary relief, namely, for a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction, were respectively denied by the Court by orders dated January 31, 2001 and March 1, 2001.

Presently pending before the Court are (i) plaintiff's motion to dismiss defendants' counterclaims and strike defendants' affirmative defenses, pursuant to [Fed.R.Civ.P. 12\(b\)\(6\)](#) ("[Rule 12\(b\)\(6\)](#)") and [Fed.R.Civ.P. 12\(f\)](#) ("[Rule 12\(f\)](#)"); (ii) plaintiff's motion to compel discovery and for sanctions under [Fed.R.Civ.P. 37](#) ("[Rule 37](#)") and for disqualification of defense counsel; and (iii) plaintiff's motion, made by order to show cause, for appointment of a temporary receiver under [New York Civil Practice Law and Rules 6401](#) ("[CPLR 6401](#)").

Two other applications, by Dreamtouch to compel plaintiff to appear at a deposition and by third party SK Telink to quash a deposition subpoena, are also considered *infra*.

II. Motion to Strike Affirmative Defenses and Dismiss Counterclaims

Plaintiff moves to dismiss defendants' affirmative defenses and counterclaims.

A. Legal Standard

In deciding a [Rule 12](#) motion to dismiss counterclaims, the Court must read the pleading as a whole, view the counterclaims in the light most favorable to the claimant, and accept the

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 2

claimant's factual allegations as true. See Twinlab Corp. v. Signature Media Servs., Inc., No. 99 Civ. 169, 1999 WL 1115237(AGS), at 3 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 7, 1999) (citing Gant v. Wallingford Bd. of Educ., 69 F.3d 669, 673 (2d Cir.1995)); Yoder v. Orthomolecular Nutrition Institute, 751 F.2d 555, 562 (2d Cir.1985) (citing Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 47-48 (1957)); see also Meridien Int'l Bank Ltd. v. Government of the Republic of Liberia, 23 F.Supp.2d 439, 445 (S.D.N.Y.1998). The Court's function is not to weigh the evidence that might be presented at trial, but merely to determine whether the pleading itself is legally sufficient. See Festa v. Local 3 Int'l Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, 905 F.2d 35, 37 (2d Cir.1990). Under Rule 12(b)(6) specifically, dismissal is inappropriate unless "it appears beyond doubt that the [non-movant] can prove no set of facts in support of the claim which would entitle him to relief." Staron v. McDonald's Corp., 51 F.3d 353, 355 (2d Cir.1995) (quoting Conley, 355 U.S. at 45-46).

*2 "[T]he principal function of pleadings under the Federal Rules is to give the adverse party fair notice of the claim asserted so as to enable [that party] to answer and prepare for trial." Levisohn, Lerner, Berger & Langsam v. Med. Taping Sys., Inc., 10 F.Supp.2d 334, 344 (S.D.N.Y.1998) (quoting Salahuddin v. Cuomo, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir.1988)). Although the pleading requirements under Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(a) ("Rule 8(a)") are construed liberally, "[l]iberal construction has its limits, for the pleading must at least set forth sufficient information for the court to determine whether some recognized legal theory exists upon which relief could be accorded the pleader. If it fails to do so, a motion under Rule 12(b)(6) will be granted." *Id.* (quoting 2 *Moore's Federal Practice* § 12.34[1][b] at 12-60 (3d ed.)).

In addition, pursuant to Rule 12(f), a court may strike from "any pleading any insufficient defense

or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent or scandalous matter." Rule 12(f). A motion to strike will not be granted unless three prerequisites have been satisfied: (i) it must appear certain that the plaintiff would succeed despite any facts which could be proved in support of the defense; (ii) even where the facts are undisputed, the motion may not implicate disputed and substantial questions of law, particularly when there has been no significant discovery; and (iii) plaintiff must show that it is prejudiced by the inclusion of the defense. See Estee Lauder, Inc. v. Fragrance Counter, Inc., 189 F.R.D. 269, 271-72 (S.D.N.Y.1999) (citations omitted).

B. Affirmative Defenses

The Court dismisses defendants' third affirmative defense (asserting that the Complaint "does not hold a valid claim") as duplicative of their first affirmative defense (stating that the Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted). The Court dismisses defendants' fourth affirmative defense with leave to replead within 21 days from the date of its Order, on the ground that the statement asserting such defense (namely, that "defendants were not to mitigate its damages") is confusing and ambiguous. ^{FN1}

^{FN1}. Defendants' memorandum of law reflects that this defense asserts that plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages. (Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motions to Strike Defendants' Affirmative Defenses and to Dismiss Defendants' Counterclaims at 17.)

The Court denies plaintiff's motion to dismiss with respect to the remainder of the affirmative defenses. On the current record, there are disputed issues of fact that implicate whether plaintiff has stated a claim, whether waiver, estoppel, or laches may apply, whether plaintiff's claim would be barred by the doctrine of unclean hands, and whether defendants have made a profit from sales

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 3

of the One-Touch devices or have been unjustly enriched. Moreover, plaintiff has not demonstrated why it would be prejudiced by the maintenance of such defenses, particularly at this early stage of the litigation.

C. Counterclaims

Defendants' first three counterclaims assert claims for breach of contract; their fourth counterclaim, for breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, is derivative of the contract claims. In order to assert a breach of contract claim, a plaintiff must establish the existence of an agreement, adequate performance by plaintiff, a breach by defendants, and consequent damages. Harsco Corp. v. Segui, 91 F.3d 337, 348 (2d Cir.1996). Each of the three causes of action, read liberally and in the context of the defendants' pleading, adequately asserts a breach of contract claim. Each arises out of the parties' Agreement, and the damages allegedly incurred by defendants arising out of plaintiff's nonperformance of the Agreement. Nevertheless, the Court dismisses these claims with leave to replead within 21 days from the date of its Order, because the claims arise out of the same Agreement and are not properly pled as separate causes of action. See Salahuddin v. Cuomo, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (stating that where a pleading does not contain short and plain statement of the claim, the court may dismiss the claim with leave to replead, as "[u]nnecessary prolixity in a pleading places an unjustified burden on the court and the party who must respond to it because they are forced to select the relevant material from a mass of verbiage.") (citation omitted).

*3 Plaintiff is incorrect in asserting that the actions of plaintiff which are implicated by defendants' counterclaims are clearly "extrinsic to the Agreement." (Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Support of His Motion under Federal Civil Rules 12(b)(6) and 12(f) ("Pl.Mem.Dis.") at 13-16.) The Agreement is far from clear as to

plaintiff's obligations thereunder as Chief Technical Officer of Dreamtouch. (Agreement ¶¶ 4.3, 4.4.)

Finally, plaintiff asserts that the Court should dismiss defendants' "exemplary damages" request as part of their fifth counterclaim for tortious interference with prospective economic advantage. Although no public harm is explicitly alleged in defendants' pleading, the Court declines to dismiss this damages request pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) because, as plaintiff acknowledges, the existence of such damages will be based on defendants' offer of proof, which goes beyond the pleadings. (Pl. Mem. Dis. at 18 (asserting that "defendants will be unable to tender objective proof" of such damages).)

D. Allegations Related to Plaintiff's Criminal Conviction

Plaintiff requests the Court to strike defendants' allegations in their counterclaims, specifically paragraph 34 thereto, concerning plaintiff's alleged criminal conviction for rape in Korea. (Pl. Mem. Dis. at 18-20.) The Court grants this request and strikes the material as irrelevant to the claims in this action and unduly prejudicial to plaintiff under Fed.R.Evid. 403.

III. Motion to Compel Discovery, For Sanctions, and to Disqualify Defense Counsel

A. Motion to Compel

Plaintiff moves, pursuant to Rule 37, to compel discovery and for sanctions on the ground that (i) defendants have failed to comply with two separate orders of this Court to provide discovery, (ii) have failed to respond to plaintiff's first request for documents and, (iii) have failed to appear for depositions.

The record reflects that defendants have not provided an adequate response to plaintiff's document request, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 34,

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 4

[FN2](#) failed to adequately comply with plaintiff's notice of deposition pursuant to [Fed.R.Civ.P. 30](#), and have failed to respond to plaintiff's demand for the address and telephone number of a potential witness, Jun Kim, in violation of [Fed.R.Civ.P. 33](#). (Kimm Decl. Disq. ¶¶ 6-23.) The Court also finds that defendants have disregarded the Court's order, issued at a conference on March 1, 2001, to provide a response to plaintiff's document request by March 8, 2001 in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Accordingly, the Court orders the production of all documents requested by plaintiff, or an adequate objection thereto, by May 14, 2001. The Court orders that the depositions of defendants Chol-Ho Chong and Young Sook Chong shall commence on May 30, 2001, at 10 a.m.

[FN2](#). The record reflects that defendants simply provided a list of exhibits as their "written response" to the document request, referencing certain documents provided in opposition to plaintiff's preliminary injunction motion and attaching certain other documents. This response was inadequate in form, and, as plaintiff points out, in substance, as it omitted information (or an appropriate objection) concerning Dreamtouch and the One-Touch Dialing System, specifically, documents related to manufacturing, sales or accounting. (Kimm Decl. Disq., Exs. 3, 10.) The fact that defendants' counsel produced "all of defendants' documents that I had in my possession," or that "settlement discussions [] led me to believe that discovery may ultimately not be necessary" does not cure the deficiency. (Declaration of Sukjin Henry Cho in Opposition to Plaintiff's [Rule 37](#) Motion and Motion to Disqualify Defense Counsel ("Cho Decl. Disq.") ¶¶ 8, 17.) And although it is unfortunate that the parties were not able to agree on a discovery

schedule, the fact that defendants' counsel attempted to negotiate one, unsuccessfully, does not cure defendants' failure to respond. (*Id.* ¶ 4.)

Further, the Court finds that defendants' failure to comply with plaintiff's initial discovery requests does not warrant the extreme sanctions requested by plaintiff, which include striking defendants' pleadings or precluding defendants from offering evidence "related to the categories of documents they have withheld." [FN3](#) (Plaintiff's Reply Memorandum of Law in Further Support of His Motions for [Rule 37](#) Relief and Disqualification of Counsel ("Pl.Rep.Disq.") at 11-12.) However, the failure to respond as directed by the Court, *supra*, will result in sanctions against defendants' counsel and/or defendants.

[FN3](#). The Court notes that an award of costs in making the motion to plaintiff is unnecessary here, pursuant to [Rules 37\(a\)\(4\)\(A\)](#) and [37\(c\)](#), both because plaintiff's [Rule 37](#) motion is granted in part and denied in part, and because an award of fees would be otherwise unjust. The Court also notes in this regard that defendants' failure to comply with discovery was not aggravated by the presence of an expedited discovery order. The Court's order for expedited discovery was issued in the context of plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction, which was denied on March 1, 2001. And no discovery schedule was ever set. The Court disagrees with plaintiff's counsel's characterization of this case, at this stage, as an "expedited action." (Reply Declaration of Michael S. Kimm, Esq. in Further Support of Plaintiff's Motion for (1) Civil [Rule 37](#) Relief and (2) Disqualification of Defense Counsel ¶ 5.)

*4 The Court will also set a discovery schedule governing the remainder of discovery in this

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 5

action. The Court warns defendants that failure to provide documents or to serve papers on time, which plaintiff alleges has been defendants' counsel's habit, in disregard of Court orders, may result in sanctions.

B. Disqualification of Counsel

Plaintiff also moves to disqualify defense counsel, Sukjin Henry Cho ("Cho"), on the grounds that Cho has "failed to comply with basic rules of practice" and "his prior actions have tainted the integrity and fairness of the adversarial process." (Declaration of Michael S. Kimm in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for (1) Civil [Rule 37](#) Relief and (2) Disqualification of Defense Counsel ("Kimm Decl. Disq.") ¶¶ 1, 2.) In particular, plaintiff claims that Cho has (i) "repeatedly failed to review the requirements of the Local Rules and Federal Civil Rules," in particular by serving papers late, failing to properly notice his change of address, and attending a Second Circuit hearing without being admitted to that court, and (ii) has falsely accused plaintiff of being convicted and serving time in jail in Korea. (Song Decl. Disq. ¶¶ 6-7; Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Support of His Motions for [Rule 37](#) Relief and Disqualification of Counsel at 6-8.)

This Circuit has recognized New York's Code of Professional Responsibility (the "Code"), as promulgated by the American Bar Association, as prescribing appropriate guidelines for the professional conduct of the Bar, and this district requires that attorneys adhere to the Code. See [NCK Org. Ltd. v. Bregman](#), 542 F.2d 128, 129 n. 2 (2d Cir.1976). Moreover, this district requires that attorneys adhere to the Code as adopted by the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court. Local Rules of the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Local Civil Rules 1.3, 1.5 (1997).

A motion to disqualify is within the Court's

discretion. *Sumitomo Corp. v. J.P. Morgan & Co., Inc.*, Nos. 99 Civ. 8780, 99 Civ. 4004, [2000 WL 145747](#), at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 8, 2000) (citing [Cheng v. GAF Corp.](#), 631 F.2d 1052, 1055 (2d Cir.1980), *vacated on other grounds*, 450 U.S. 903 (1981)). In this Circuit, motions for disqualification are generally viewed with disfavor because disqualification of counsel impinges on a party's right to employ counsel of choice, and such motions are often interposed for tactical purposes. See [Evans v. Artek Sys. Corp.](#), 715 F.2d 788, 791-92 (2d Cir.1983). Although doubts should be resolved in favor of disqualification, a party seeking disqualification must meet a high standard of proof before disqualification will be granted. See [Sumitomo](#), [2000 WL 145747](#), at *3. The Second Circuit has made clear that disqualification is appropriate only if there is a significant risk that an attorney's conduct will taint the trial, such as where his unethical conduct will prejudice his adversary. *Id.* (citing [Armstrong v. McAlpin](#), 625 F.2d 433, 444-46 (2d Cir.1980) (en banc), *vacated on other grounds and remanded sub nom*, [McAlpin v. Armstrong](#), 449 U.S. 1106 (1981)); [Gentner v. Shulman](#), 55 F.3d 87, 89 (2d Cir.1995). Such risk arises essentially in cases where there is an irreconcilable conflict of interest or where an attorney may use privileged information concerning his adversary to gain an unfair advantage. See [Board of Educ. of the City of New York v. Nyquist](#), 590 F.2d 1241, 1246 (2d Cir.1979); ABA Com. on Ethics and Professional Responsibility, Code of Professional Responsibility, Canons 4 and 5.

*5 Here, the Court reiterates that defendants' reference to plaintiff's alleged rape conviction in Korea, in their pleadings and letters to the Court, is wholly improper. However, it also finds that plaintiff has not shown that Cho has violated any of the Code's ethical canons or, more generally, engaged in unethical conduct that would taint the trial of this matter. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 6

to disqualify counsel is denied. However, the Court directs Cho to file a notice of change of address with the Court which specifies his proper address, and to specify an address for all mailings, in order to facilitate future communication between the parties, and between the Court and defendants.

IV. Motion for Appointment of a Temporary Receiver

Plaintiff moves, by order to show cause and pursuant to [CPLR 6401](#), for the appointment of a temporary receiver to manage the affairs of Dreamtouch during the pendency of this action.

“[T]he appointment of a receiver is an extraordinary remedy, which ‘should be employed with the utmost caution and granted only in cases of clear necessity to protect plaintiff’s interests in [certain] property.’” [Haggiag v. Brown](#), 728 F.Supp. 286, 294 (S.D.N.Y.1990) (quoting [Republic of the Philippines v. New York Land Co.](#), 852 F.2d 33, 36 (2d Cir.1988)); [CPLR 6401](#). It “is an extreme remedy resulting in the taking and withholding of possession of property from a party without adjudication on the merits.” [Schachner v. Sikowitz](#), 462 N.Y.S.2d 49, 49 (2d Dep’t 1983). Such relief may only be granted under [CPLR 6401](#) “where the applicant makes ‘a clear evidentiary showing of the necessity for the conservation of property and the protection of the interests of the litigant.’” *Id.* (quoting [Glassner v. Kaufman](#), 244 N.Y.S.2d 449 (1st Dep’t 1963)).

As plaintiff points out, New York courts have appointed receivers in cases where (i) the plaintiff offered “clear and convincing proof” of a danger of irreparable loss, where defendant was on the verge of insolvency and might well dissipate proceeds due plaintiff, see [Somerville House Management v. Am. Television Syndication Co., Inc.](#), 474 N.Y.S.2d 756, 757 (1st Dep’t 1984), or (ii) the actions of various antagonists, e.g., corporate stockholders, with interdependent rights

in certain property threaten the well-being or continued viability of the corporation, see [Modern Telecommunications, Inc. v. Dalessandro](#), 587 N.Y.S.2d 315, 318 (1st Dep’t 1992). New York courts have declined to appoint receivers where the applicant makes an insufficient demonstration of waste or mismanagement of the property in question, even where, as here, an ownership interest is present. See, e.g., [B.D. & F Realty Corp. v. Lerner](#), 648 N.Y.S.2d 596, 597 (1st Dep’t 1996); [Groh v. Halloran](#), 448 N.Y.S.2d 680, 682 (1982); [Hahn v. Garay](#), 387 N.Y.S.2d 430, 430 (1st Dep’t 1976).

In this case, the Court finds that plaintiff has not made the requisite showing. Plaintiff’s grounds for requesting the appoint of a receiver are as follows: (i) defendants’ alleged failure to comply with this Court’s orders to provide certain discovery to plaintiff, which is also the focus of plaintiff’s [Rule 37](#) motion, *supra*; (ii) defendants’ failure to pay plaintiff a percentage of Dreamtouch’s profits or to provide an accounting of Dreamtouch’s finances; (iii) defendant Chol-Ho Chong’s (“Chong’s”) purported threats, made to “at least two third-parties” that he will put “the company in bankruptcy”; (iv) Chong’s purported statement to plaintiff that plaintiff’s name would be removed as a shareholder of Dreamtouch in order to sell the company to a third party, and that plaintiff would have nothing if he does not drop the instant lawsuit; (v) Chong’s purported statement that he will delay this case so that plaintiff will be unable to obtain any meaningful relief; and (vi) defendants’ refusal to provide plaintiff access to the company’s books and records in order to ascertain the company’s sales and revenue figures. (Pl. Mem. Rec. at 1-2; Plaintiff’s Declaration in Support of his Motion for a Temporary Receivership over Dreamtouch, Inc. (“Song Decl.”) ¶¶ 3-10.)

*6 While the case involves, in part, certain property rights, namely the shareholder rights of

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 7

plaintiff and monies purportedly due to him under the Agreement, plaintiff has not demonstrated that such rights are in danger of being extinguished. Defendants' failure to pay plaintiff money he believes he is owed, or to provide plaintiff with certain documents, an accounting, or access to books and records are not elements of proof concerning Dreamtouch's financial affairs or short or long term stability. Moreover, the record reflects that defendants have recently provided an accounting through certain financial documents (some of which indicate that the company did not make a profit in 2000), [FN4](#) and defendants state that they will be turning over additional financial records. (Def. Mem. Rec. at 5-6; Declaration of Chol-Ho Chong in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Receiver ("Chong Decl. Rec.") ¶ 17.) The allegations concerning Chong's threats to plaintiff or other Dreamtouch employees are merely conclusory, and insufficient to justify the drastic remedy of a temporary receiver. See [Modern Collection Associates, Inc. v. Capital Group](#), 528 N.Y.S.2d 649, 649 (2d Dep't 1988) (finding that "plaintiff's conclusory allegations are inadequate" to establish claim that its assets were in danger of being removed from the state); [Schachner](#), 462 N.Y.S.2d at 49 (finding "general accusations set forth by the plaintiffs have not sufficiently established by clear and convincing evidence the need for such a drastic remedy"). The record reflects that Dreamtouch has not been sold, nor plaintiff's interest in the company eliminated; defendants acknowledge that Chong had attempted to sell the company, but such sale was not effectuated in part because of plaintiff's objection thereto. (Def. Mem. at 7; Chong Decl. ¶ 15.); see [Secured Capital Corp. v. Dansker](#), 694 N.Y.S.2d 409, 409 (2d Dep't 1999) ("While the defendants demonstrated their apparent interest in the specific fund and properties which are the subject of this action, they failed to demonstrate that those funds or properties are in danger of being materially injured or destroyed.").

[FN4](#). Plaintiff asserts that defendants represented to him that their gross revenue, as of December 2000, was over \$1.5 million, and that their margin was 38 percent, indicating a profit of approximately \$19,000 per month due to plaintiff. (Song Decl. Rec. ¶¶ 4-6 (alleging that defendants fabricated their tax returns to omit certain revenue).) Notwithstanding the fact that such numbers contrast with defendants' YR 2000 tax returns, (Chong Decl. Rec., Ex. A), the mere fact of nonpayment does not warrant the appointment of a temporary receiver. Nor does defendants' claim of an approximately \$300,000 loss for YR 2000 "effectively concede that they did indeed threaten to put the company into bankruptcy." (Reply Declaration of Han-Mo Song in Further Support of Plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Receivership ¶ 3).

Accordingly, the Court denies plaintiff's motion for appointment of a temporary receiver. The Court also declines to reconsider its denial of plaintiff's request for an evidentiary hearing on this motion; for the purposes of this motion, the essential facts are not in dispute.

V. Deposition of Plaintiff Song

According to defense counsel Anthony Ferrara, plaintiff's counsel has refused to cooperate in scheduling the deposition of plaintiff, Han Mo Song. (Letter from Anthony Ferrara to the Court dated April 30, 2001.) The Court orders that plaintiff's deposition go forward on May 23, 2001, at 10 a.m., and that plaintiff produce the documents specified in the deposition notice provided by defendants, or provide a written objection to those items that he cannot produce, in accordance with [Rule 34](#), by May 21, 2001.

*7 The Court also notes that, contrary to plaintiff's

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 8

suggestion, the Court did not set March 8, 2001 as either the close of discovery, or the time limit for service of defendants' notices of deposition. (Letter from Michael S. Kimm to the Court dated May 2, 2001.) March 8, 2001 was merely the date by which defendants' initial discovery requests should have been served.

VI. Motion to Quash Subpoena for SK Telink's Deposition

On April 19, 2001, plaintiff served a deposition subpoena on third-party SK Telink America, Inc. ("Telink"), requesting the production of documents and a deposition at the offices of plaintiff's counsel on May 4, 2001, with respect to the instant action. On May 4, 2001, Telink served a motion to quash the subpoena, on the grounds that (i) it was issued from the wrong court and did not include attendance or mileage fees, in violation of [Fed.R.Civ.P. 45\(a\) and \(b\)](#); and (ii) the information plaintiff seeks is confidential business information, which is retrievable from defendants in this action without undue hardship, and (iii) the document request suggests that plaintiff is attempting to obtain discovery from Telink concerning the other case that plaintiff has filed before this Court, *Han Mo Song v. SK Telink America, Inc.*, 01 Civ. 716(AGS), in which discovery has not yet commenced. Opposition papers are due by May 10, 2001 (4 business days after service) and reply papers by May 11, 2001 (1 day after service of the opposition papers).

While the motion is not fully submitted, the Court quashes the subpoena and orders plaintiff to re-serve it. The record reflects that the deposition subpoena was issued from the wrong court, as [Rule 45\(a\)\(2\)](#) states that a subpoena commanding attendance at a deposition must issue from the court for the district where the deposition is to be held. Plaintiff's deposition subpoena is issued from this Court but calls for SK Telink's attendance at plaintiff's counsel's office in New Jersey. The fact that the subpoena was served less

than 100 miles from the site of the deposition is irrelevant. (Letter from Michael S. Kimm to the Court dated May 2, 2001 at 2.) Further, plaintiff concedes that the attendance and mileage fees were not provided concurrently with the subpoena. Where no fee is tendered with the service of a subpoena requiring a witness' attendance, the service is invalid. [Costamar Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Kim-Sail Ltd.](#), No. 95 Civ. 3349, 1995 WL 736907, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 12, 1995) (citing [CF & I Steel Corp. v. Mitsui & Co. \(USA\)](#), 713 F.2d 494, 496 (9th Cir.1983)). Such improper service warrants quashing the subpoena.

Further, the Court finds that, to the extent it seeks discovery in *Han Mo Song v. SK Telink America, Inc.*, 01 Civ. 716(AGS), the deposition subpoena is currently improper under [Fed.R.Civ.P. 26\(d\)](#), because the parties have not conferred as required under [Fed.R.Civ.P. 26\(f\)](#); nor has their been a Rule 16(b) pretrial conference. However, it would be inadvisable to order that the deposition be adjourned on such ground, as Telink suggests. Moreover, the fact that certain confidential business information is implicated by the document request does not render the deposition itself improper. Telink may simply decline to provide certain information on the grounds of confidentiality or privilege.

VII. Conclusion

*8 For the foregoing reasons:

A. Plaintiff's motions are granted in part and denied in part, as follows:

(i) Motion to Strike Affirmative Defenses and Dismiss Counterclaims: Granted in part and denied in part

The Court dismisses defendants' third and fourth affirmative defenses, the latter with leave to replead, and declines to dismiss the remainder of the affirmative defenses. The Court dismisses

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d
Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)
(Cite as: Not Reported in F.Supp.2d)

Page 9

defendants' first three counterclaims with leave to replead, and declines to dismiss the remaining counterclaims.

The Court grants plaintiff's motion to strike defendants' allegations in the counterclaims concerning plaintiff's alleged criminal conviction for rape in Korea as irrelevant to the instant action and unduly prejudicial to plaintiff.

(ii) Motion to Compel Discovery, for Sanctions, and to Disqualify Defense Counsel: Granted in part and denied in part

The Court orders defendants to produce the documents requested by plaintiff in his first document request, or present an adequate objection, by May 14, 2001, and orders that the depositions of the individual defendants shall commence on May 30, 2001, at 10 a.m. However, the Court declines to sanction defendants for heretofore failing to provide an adequate response.

The Court declines to disqualify defense counsel.

(iii) Motion for Appointment of Temporary Receiver: Denied

The Court declines to reconsider its decision to deny plaintiff an evidentiary hearing on the motion for temporary receiver, and denies plaintiff's motion.

B. The motion by defendant Dreamtouch to so-order its notice of plaintiff's deposition is granted. The deposition is noticed for May 23, 2001, at 10 a.m.

C. The motion by SK Telink America, Inc., defendant in *Han Mo Song v. SK Telink America, Inc.*, to quash a deposition subpoena previously served on Telink by plaintiff is granted.

SO ORDERED.

S.D.N.Y.,2001.

Song v. Dreamtouch, Inc.

Not Reported in F.Supp.2d, 2001 WL 487413 (S.D.N.Y.)

Briefs and Other Related Documents ([Back to top](#))

• [1:01cv00386](#) (Docket) (Jan. 18, 2001)

END OF DOCUMENT

EXHIBIT G

Company	Notice Name	Address 1	Address 2	City	State	Zip Code
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Ira Levee	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Fl	New York	NY	10020
Lowenstein Sandler PC	Michael Etkin	1251 Avenue of the Americas	18th Fl	New York	NY	10020
Nix Patterson & Roach LLP	Bradley E Beckworth	205 Linda Drive		Daingerfield	TX	75638
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP	John P Coffey	220 St Paul St		Westfield	NJ	7090
Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP	John P Coffey	1285 Avenue of the Americas		New York	NY	10019
Grant Eisenhower PA	Stuart Grant	1201 N Market St	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801
Grant Eisenhower PA	Jay W Eisenhofer	1201 N Market St	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801
Schiffrin & Barroway LLP	Michael Yarnoff	280 King of Prussia Road		Radnor	PA	19087
Grant Eisenhower PA	Geoffry C Jarvis	1201 N Market St	Suite 2100	Wilmington	DE	19801
Schiffrin & Barroway LLP	Sean M Handler	280 King of Prussia Road		Radnor	PA	19087